Improvement of the performance of a grid connected photovoltaic system by direct power control strategy with a new switching table
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Abstract: Solar energy is the most powerful permanent, clean and renewable energy source that has the potential of changing the future of the world. The use of solar energy, principally photovoltaic, for different applications mainly electrical grid, is well suited for most rural areas due to the lack of electrification, but also for a large number of the countries around the world. Here, we propose a strategy for optimizing the performance of a grid-connected photovoltaic power system. To achieve this, we used the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control method based on the fuzzy logic controlled. To control and improve the performances of a voltage source inverter for grid connected photovoltaic systems, we used the newly developed direct power control technique. We created simulations of the proposed approach MATLAB / Simulink and found very interesting results compared to the existing control strategies in this field.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Solar energy is one of the most powerful and promising alternative energies that can be an alternative to fossil energy [1]. The United Nations Development Programme in its 2000 World Energy Assessment estimated the potential of solar energy at 1,575-49,387 Exajoules (EJ). This potential is significantly higher than the world energy consumption altogether, which was estimated at 559.8 EJ in 2012. Solar energy is recommended as a sustainable source for its clean and renewable energy source that has the potential of changing the future of the world. The use of solar energy, principally photovoltaic, for different applications mainly electrical grid, is well suited for most rural areas due to the lack of electrification, but also for a large number of the countries around the world. Here, we propose a strategy for optimizing the performance of a grid-connected photovoltaic power system. To achieve this, we used the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control method based on the fuzzy logic controlled. To control and improve the performances of a voltage source inverter for grid connected photovoltaic systems, we used the newly developed direct power control technique. We created simulations of the proposed approach MATLAB / Simulink and found very interesting results compared to the existing control strategies in this field.

Recent methods identify the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) to get a maximum power point of photovoltaic generator (PVG). The second converter is a three-phase inverter used to convert the DC into AC injected into the electrical grid.

The MPPT controller plays a critical role in the PV power system for maximizing the PVG power. The control principle is based on the automatic variation of the duty cycle cycle to the appropriate value. There are different algorithms types for the MPPT controller [3]. Due to its simplicity, the Perturb and Observe algorithm (P&O) is the most commonly used to search the maximum power point. It requires only voltage and current measurements of the PVG. The operating principle of the P&O algorithm is based on voltage perturbation of voltage and observing observation of the impact of this change on the output power of the PV panel. However, this method has a major disadvantage represented by the problem of oscillations around the MPPs.

Recently, new artificial intelligence methods were developed for avoid disadvantage of classical MPPT [4-5]. These methods identify the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm based on the artificial neural networks (ANN) technique. Fuzzy logic controller has been demonstrated to obtain the optimum power point with good performance compared with other algorithm [6].

To convert the DC into AC, the three-phase voltage source inverter is the most topology used regarding to its simplicity and robustness. There are two principal methods to control the inverter, the direct and the indirect. The objective of these methods is to improve the current signal shape and reduce harmonic distortion. The pulse width modulation (PWM) method is the most commonly used to control the voltage source inverter due to its simplicity. The PWM has some disadvantages, such as unwanted harmonic and a poor transient response. The best
method used in direct control of grid-connected is direct power control (DPC). This strategy is inspired the principle of direct torque control (DTC) of AC machines [7]. The converter switching states are selected by a switching table. The voltage vector position and the outputs of hysteresis correctors represent the inputs of switching table [8]. In this study, we proposed a novel switching table for direct power control of three-phase voltage source inverter. We also describe the topology for the PV array and boost converter with the MPPT control, and a direct power control with new switching table. Our simulation results show the variations of current, voltage and total harmonic distortion. The results analysis confirms that the proposed model given the good performances with a much reduced total harmonic distortion (THD). The current and voltage profiles have a sinusoidal form with a very little with a power factor unity.

In this paper, the first section describes the mathematical models of the PV array and boost converter. The used MPPT control is presented in the second section. In the third section, the direct power controller principle is demonstrated with the syntheses the new switching table. The proposed system is presented in the fifth section. Finally in the sixth section, we present a simulation and discussion of the results obtained in this study.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE SOLAR PANEL:

There are several mathematical models representing the operation of a photovoltaic generator. In this study, we used the electric model represented by the following figure [9].

![Fig.1. The solar cell.](image)

The output current of the solar cell is given by

\[ I_{pv} = I_L - I_d - I_p \]

Where: \( I_L \): \( N_p \): \( I_{pv} \)

By considering the electrical characteristics of a junction, this current can be given by [3]

\[ I_{pv} = I_L - I_o - \frac{1}{e^T - 1} \left( \frac{V_{pv}}{V_T} \right) - \frac{V_{pv} + I_{pv} R_p}{R_p} \]

The ideal photovoltaic module is the one for which \( R_s \) is zero and \( R_p \) is infinitely great. The output current and voltage are then given by

\[ I_{pv} = I_L + I_o \left( \frac{V_{pv}}{V_T} \right) - 1 \]

\[ V_{pv} = V_T \ln \left( \frac{I_L - I_{pv}}{I_o} + 1 \right) \]

Where:

\( N_c \): number of cells connected in series, \( N_p \): number of cells connected in parallel, \( R_s \): series resistance, \( R_p \): parallel resistance, \( I_o \): short circuit current, \( I_s \): current of the diode, \( I_{pv} \): output current and of the solar cell, \( I_L \): current generated by the solar modules, \( I_s \): saturation current of the diode, \( V_{pv} \): output voltage of the solar cell.

III. BOOST CONVERTER

The boost converter is principally composed by Electronics components. It is used in several domains. In this case, the boost converter coupled with PVG. To maintain the power of PVG near to the maximum power point whatever the illumination variations are, the MPPT controller that generates the duty cycle will be controlled by the MOSFET of Boost converter. The following figure shows circuit the boost converter.

![Fig.2 the Boost converter.](image)

The mathematical model of the DC-DC converter expressed by the following equations: [10], [11]

\[ V_o = \frac{V_i}{1 - D} \]

\[ I_o = \frac{I_i}{1 - D} \]

Where:

\( I_i \): input current, \( I_o \): output current, \( V_i \): input voltage, \( V_o \): output voltage, \( D \): duty cycle.

IV. MPPT CONTROLLER OF PV SYSTEMS USING FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER (FLC)
In general, a fuzzy logic controller is divided into the following three blocks [6]: 1) the fuzzification to convert real variables to fuzzy variables, 2) the inference where these variables are compared with predefined sets to determine appropriate response, 3) the defuzzification to convert the subset fuzzification into values [12].

The basic structure of our fuzzy controller is shown in Figure [12].

Fig.3. Basic structure of fuzzy controller.

In the following section, we will detail the steps achievements of fuzzy logic controller, which comprises the next three blocks:

1. **Fuzzification**

   The membership functions assigned to the linguistic variables, using five fuzzy sets. The triangular and trapezoidal functions are used.

   The used system consists of two input variables, error (E) and the change of the error (ΔE) expressed as follows:

   \[
   E(k) = \frac{P(k) - P(k-1)}{V(k) - V(k-1)}
   \]

   \[
   \Delta E(k) = E(k) - E(k-1)
   \]

   (6) (7)

   The universe of discourse of the input variable E and ΔE is allocated based on linguistic variable with five fuzzy sets which are designated by very negative (VN), negative (NS), zero (ZE), positive (PS), and positive (VP).

2. **Fuzzy inference:**

   In the literature, several methods are proposed composition such as Max-Min and Max-Dot. In this study, we opted for the use of the Mamdani fuzzy inference method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CE</th>
<th>VN</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>VP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>VP</td>
<td>VP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   TABLE 1: TABLE OF FUZZY RULES.

3. **Defuzzification**

   The output variable is the duty cycle. Defuzzification uses the center of gravity.

   \[
   dD = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(D_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(D_j)}
   \]

   (8)

   The output variable dD is allocated based a linguistic variable with five fuzzy sets which are designated by very negative (VN), negative (NS), zero (ZE), positive (PS), and positive (VP).

   Fig.4. Definitions and membership functions of (a) the 1st input variable (E), (b) the 2nd input variable (CE) and (c) the output variable (dD).

V. **DIRECT POWER CONTROL**

   Figure (5) presented the diagram of direct power control. Two types of sensors are required for measured the voltage and the current line of the electrical grid. The value of voltage and current allow estimation of the reactive and active powers by equation (9).
\[\begin{align*}
P &= I_A e_A + I_B e_B \\
q &= I_A e_B - I_B e_A
\end{align*}\]  
(9)

Using of concordia transformation, the voltage and the current vector are given by the following equations:

\[\begin{align*}
e_{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ e_\beta \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_a \\ e_b \\ e_c \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}\]  
(10)

Also we can write it in another way:

\[\begin{align*}
e_\alpha &= E, \cos(\theta) \\
e_\beta &= E, \sin(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e_{\alpha\beta}\| = E
\end{align*}\]  
(11)

\[\begin{align*}
i_{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{bmatrix} i_\alpha \\ i_\beta \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ i_b \\ i_c \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}\]  
(12)

The reference value of active and reactive power are given directly as constant and compared with the value estimate of P and q. The results of this comparison presented input of the hysteresis comparators; the output of the hysteresis comparators is digitized variable \(S_p\) and \(S_q\) follows:

\[\begin{align*}
S_p &= 1 \quad \text{if} \quad P^* - \hat{P} \geq h_P \\
S_p &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad P^* - \hat{P} \leq -h_P \\
S_q &= 1 \quad \text{if} \quad q^* - \hat{q} \geq h_P \\
S_q &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad q^* - \hat{q} \leq -h_P
\end{align*}\]

The line voltage vectors position determined by equation (13)

\[\begin{align*}
\theta_\alpha &= \arctg \left( \frac{V_{\alpha A}}{V_{\beta B}} \right)
\end{align*}\]  
(13)

The switching table has three inputs: 1) the digitized variable \(S_p, S_q\) and \(\theta_\alpha\), 2) the output is the switching state \(s_A, s_B,\) and \(s_C\) of the inverter, 3) the conventional switching table is presented in table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(S_p)</th>
<th>(S_q)</th>
<th>(S_h)</th>
<th>(\theta_\alpha)</th>
<th>(\theta_\beta)</th>
<th>(\theta_\gamma)</th>
<th>(\theta_\delta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\beta)</td>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>(\delta)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>(\delta)</td>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>(\beta)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(\delta)</td>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>(\beta)</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2 SWITCHING TABLE OF CLASSICAL DIRECT POWER CONTROL

With \(V_1(100), V_2(110), V_3(010), V_4(011), V_5(001), V_6(101), V_7(000), V_8(111)\).

In this paper, we developed a new switching table to overcome the challenges and disadvantages of using a classic DPC.

1. **Synthesis of the switching table**

For the balanced three phase’s system, in the reference \(\alpha-\beta\) the current is expressed by the following relationship:

\[\begin{align*}
di_\alpha &= \frac{1}{L} (e_\alpha - e_A - R_i) \\
di_\beta &= \frac{1}{L} (e_\beta - e_B - R_i)
\end{align*}\]  
(14)

From equation (14) we can notice that the current \([i_a, i_b]^T\) depends on several factors; voltage vector of the electrical grid, Vector control and actual current.

Practically, the parameter R effect is neglected.

\[\begin{align*}
di_\alpha &= \frac{1}{L} (e_\alpha - v_\alpha) \\
di_\beta &= \frac{1}{L} (e_\beta - v_\beta)
\end{align*}\]  
(15)

The derivation of the equation (9) obtained:

\[\begin{align*}
dP &= i_\alpha \frac{de_\alpha}{dt} + e_\alpha \frac{di_\alpha}{dt} + i_\beta \frac{de_\beta}{dt} + e_\beta \frac{di_\beta}{dt} \\
dq &= i_\beta \frac{de_\beta}{dt} + e_\beta \frac{di_\beta}{dt} - i_\alpha \frac{de_\alpha}{dt} - e_\alpha \frac{di_\alpha}{dt}
\end{align*}\]  
(16)

The period of the grid voltage is very large compared to the switching period, we can write

\[\begin{align*}
e_{\alpha\beta}(k) &= e_{\alpha\beta}(k+1)
\end{align*}\]

By the discretization of the first order, the equation of (current, power) on a switching period \(T_s\) becomes the form:

\[\begin{align*}
\Delta i_\alpha &= i_{\alpha}(k+1) - i_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{T_s}{L} (e_\alpha(k) - v_\alpha(k)) \\
\Delta i_\beta &= i_{\beta}(k+1) - i_{\beta}(k) = \frac{T_s}{L} (e_\beta(k) - v_\beta(k))
\end{align*}\]  
(18)

\[\begin{align*}
\Delta P &= e_\alpha(k) \cdot \Delta i_\alpha + e_\beta(k) \cdot \Delta i_\beta \\
\Delta q &= e_\beta(k) \cdot \Delta i_\alpha - e_\alpha(k) \cdot \Delta i_\beta
\end{align*}\]  
(19)

By substituting the equation (18) in (19), we obtain

\[\begin{align*}
\Delta P &= \frac{T_s}{L} \left( e_\alpha(k)^2 + e_\beta(k)^2 \right) - \frac{T_s}{L} \left( e_\alpha(k) \cdot v_\alpha(k) + e_\beta(k) \cdot v_\beta(k) \right) \\
\Delta q &= \frac{T_s}{L} \left( e_\alpha(k) \cdot v_\beta(k) - e_\beta(k) \cdot v_\alpha(k) \right)
\end{align*}\]  
(20)

By observation of equation (20) it can be concluded that the change of active and reactive power depends on the voltage vector from the electrical grid and the control vector.
The following expression delineates the instantaneous change of active and reactive power:

\[
\Delta P_i = \frac{\Delta^2 \alpha}{L} (e_{\alpha}^2 (k) + e_{\beta}^2 (k)) - \frac{\Delta^2 \beta}{L} (e_{\alpha} (k) \nu_{\alpha i} + e_{\beta} (k) \nu_{\beta i})
\]

\[
\Delta q_i = \frac{\Delta^2 \beta}{L} (e_{\alpha} (k) \nu_{\beta i} - e_{\beta} (k) \nu_{\alpha i})
\]

\[i = 0, \ldots, 6. \tag{21}\]

The:

\[e_{\alpha}^2 (k) + e_{\beta}^2 (k) = \frac{3}{2} E_m^2 \tag{22}\]

By Substituting equations (11) and (22) into (21) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta P_i &= \frac{\Delta^2 \alpha}{L} \|\mathbf{E}\|^2 \left[ \cos(\theta) \nu_{\alpha i} + \sin(\theta) \nu_{\beta i} \right] \\
\Delta q_i &= \frac{\Delta^2 \beta}{L} \|\mathbf{E}\|^2 \left[ \cos(\theta) \nu_{\beta i} - \sin(\theta) \nu_{\alpha i} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

\[\tag{23}\]

Figures (6) and (7) are the standard variation of the active and reactive power in all sectors.

![Fig. 6. Change in instantaneous active power.](image)

![Fig. 7. Change in instantaneous reactive power.](image)

For each sector, the knowledge of the sign and the change of active and reactive power for each sector are required to synthesize switching table. As an example, Tables 3 and 5 show change of active and reactive power in sectors 1 and 2, respectively. Tables 4 and 6 show the control vector for each combination of Sp andSq in sectors 1 and 2, respectively.

![Table 3](image)

**TABLE 3** SUMMARIZES ALL THE CHANGES OF ACTIVE AND REACTIVE POWER IN SECTOR 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &gt; 0 )</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &lt; 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sector 1</td>
<td>( S_q = 1 )</td>
<td>( S_q = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &gt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 1 )</td>
<td>( V_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 0 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 4](image)

**TABLE 4** SHOWS THE CONTROL VECTORS SELECTED IN SECTOR 1, FOR EACH COMBINATION OF SP AND SQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector 2</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &gt; 0 )</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &lt; 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sector 2</td>
<td>( S_q = 1 )</td>
<td>( S_q = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &gt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 1 )</td>
<td>( V_3, V_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 0 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 5](image)

**TABLE 5** SUMMARIZES ALL THE CHANGES OF ACTIVE AND REACTIVE POWER IN SECTOR 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector 2</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &gt; 0 )</th>
<th>( \tilde{q} &lt; 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sector 2</td>
<td>( S_q = 1 )</td>
<td>( S_q = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &gt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 1 )</td>
<td>( V_3, V_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( S_p = 0 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 6](image)

**TABLE 6** SHOWS THE CONTROL VECTORS SELECTED IN SECTOR 2, FOR EACH COMBINATION OF SP AND SQ

The proposed switching table is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S_p )</th>
<th>( S_q )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_5 )</td>
<td>( V_6 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_5 )</td>
<td>( V_6 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_5 )</td>
<td>( V_6 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
<td>( V_1 )</td>
<td>( V_2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 7](image)

**TABLE 7 THE PROPOSED SWITCHING TABLE**

**VI. DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTROL SYSTEM**

The grid-connected photovoltaic power system proposed in this work consists of a solar generator, two static converters and an electrical grid. The following figure shows our proposed system:

Among the two converters: the one is called chopper and is controlled by a MPPT to obtain the maximum power point; and the second converter is called three-phase inverter, and converts the DC into AC.

For this, we proposed a system that is mainly composed of two parts of command:
Block diagram of a grid-connected PV system.

1 - MPPT Controller based on the FLC to obtain a control signal of the appropriate variable step and improve the performance of photovoltaic generator.

2 – DPC control to enhance the performance of the electrical grid.

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation results of the proposed system were generated using Matlab / Simulink. In this case, we used the following values as standard conditions: 25 °C for temperature and an solar radiation of 1000W/m².

Fig.9. DC Bus Voltage.

Fig.10. Phase a voltage.

Fig.11. Zoom of phase a voltage.
In this section, we discuss the performance of the proposed system. Figure 9 represents the voltage of DC Bus. Figure 12 shows the response of the phase to the current and graph 10 represents the response of the phase to a voltage. Responses of the active and the reactive are shown in figure 14 for constant operating conditions.

The search for maximum power point based on FLC control shows that: “The optimal point is achieved for an optimal PVG voltage with a short transitory regime.

By carefully observing the obtained results, we feel confident that the proposed conversion chain has a good performance with low harmonic distortion for the line currents (around 1.72 %), which confirms that line current has been acceptable.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Our study presented here applies generally for the optimization of a grid-connected photovoltaic power system performance. To achieve this, we have used the control modern techniques based on fuzzy logic control and direct power control. A simulation result shows that, the proposed system improves the performance of the grid-connected photovoltaic power system. The MPPT control gives a quick response, reduced power oscillations around the optimal point and is more effective than the conventional control devices developed so far. The Inverter is controlled by direct power control. This method actually gives a good response. Finally, simulation results show a very good performance of the proposed system.
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