Digital Simulation of Voltage Regulated Inverter for FLC Controlled Autonomous PV-Wind Hybrid Power System
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Abstract: The solar PV and the wind are comprehensively measured to be a spotless form of energy harvest from Renewable Energy Sources (RES) which can calm grid reliance. The PV and wind power advances being entrenched, infiltration of these RES into the system has increased exponentially. All together to outline appropriate control and tackle power through RES, the information regarding environmental conditions of the location is crucial. A hybrid power system consisting of solar PV and wind power are chosen for the study. The output from wind power is changed to DC supply and consolidated with PV to make the framework recurrence and autonomous of environmental changes. The effectiveness to maintain DC voltage consistent at desired level is accomplished by Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) controlled boost converter and the Maximum Power Point Tracker (MPPT) to concentrate on the maximum power. The Voltage Regulated Inverter (VRI) is used to invert DC to AC, a control based on discrete PLL is actualized in hybrid framework to maintain the parameters at desired level. A mathematical model of hybrid framework consisting of FLC-based MPPT controller boost converters and VRI for standalone application is executed in MATLAB, Simulink. The execution of VRI under steady and differing AC load is dissected. Simulation results demonstrates satisfactory operation of inverter control logic.
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1. Introduction.
A Hybrid Power system is a combination of different forms of RES like Fuel Cell, Wind, and Photovoltaic (PV) etc. are integrated for better clean source of energy generation. In order to select RES for the autonomous operation, the knowledge of environmental conditions is essential. The key downside of the autonomous hybrid system is to have a stable operating frequency and supply voltage is 415 V at 50Hz frequency in India [1]. Different topologies of power electronics converters were proposed in the literature by various researchers Arafat, M.N et. al [2] has worked towards seamless transitions between grid connected and islanded mode of operation of utility inverter. A space vector PWM technique is employed to generate grid angle in order to control the inverter output voltage. Ariany, M. et. Al [3] has proposed a Phase Locked Loop (PLL) based inverter voltage control of islanded microgrid. A voltage, current and frequency control logic were implemented to have a stable operation of the islanded microgrid. Ozdemir, E. et. al [4] has assessed the enactment of a 7.2 kW PV generation for rural electrification. Rong-Jong Wai et. al [5] a comparative analysis of simulation model with the real-time system was done and by the analysis it has been concluded that the simulated model results have viable results and different topologies of inverters for autonomous mode of operation were suggested in the literature [6]-[9].

Large amount of work was done toward improvement of the Maximum Power Point Tracker (MPPT) algorithm of RES generation and ideal location of placement and different renewable energy in the power network, energy management system of grid connected RES generation, and optimization. In stand-alone mode computation of reference phase angle θ and to sustain output frequency and Voltage constant requires different loops of control implementation. In order to have an enhanced control, a discrete PLL is employed instead of conventional PLL to control the inverter. The input to the discrete PLL are the desired frequency and phase angle depending on the desired values of the θ is computed. Which makes the design of control logic simpler for implementation. In the literature inverter based control of micro grids a DC source is considered in the studies instead of RES generation. The dynamics of fluctuations produced due to RES generations are not considered which plays a vital role in the design of inverter control.

No work was reported towards the performance analysis of hybrid power system with Discrete PLL. The proposed inverter control logic implementation using discrete PLL to estimate the phase angle θ to enhance the inverter control. Instead of having a complicated inverter control with several stages of
voltage, current and frequency control. With the above backdrop, a simulation study of the standalone hybrid power system with AC load under different loading conditions. The following section will discuss the equation based analysis of the hybrid power system.

2. Modeling of Hybrid Power System:

Figure 1 shows the graphical representation of PV-Wind hybrid power system which consists of Solar PV based, Wind based generation, and Voltage regulated inverter feeding AC load.

Fig. 1 Graphical representation of hybrid system

2.1 Solar Photovoltaic generation:

The building blocks of Solar Photovoltaic generation are PV cell, Boost converter and the duty cycle is controlled by Perturb and Observe (P & O) MPP (maximum power point) tracking algorithm. The electrical equivalent of PV cell is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Electrical equivalent of two diode model of PV cell

The electrical equivalent of PV cell with components current source \( I_{ph} \), output voltage \( V \), diodes \( D_1, D_2 \) with currents in diode \( I_{D1}, I_{D2} \), Contact Resistor \( R_s \), Internal Resistance \( R_p \), current through shunt resistance \( I_{SH} \) and the output current \( I \) or \( I_{PV} \). The output current of the PV cell (1),

\[
I_{PV} = I_{ph} - I_{D1} - I_{D2} - I_{SH}
\]

where, \( I_{D1}, I_{D2} \) are the diode diffusion currents rewriting (1) into (2).

\[
I = I_{ph} - I_{D1} - I_{D2} - I_{SH}
\]

\[I = I_{ph} - I_{D1} - I_{D2} - I_{SH}
\]

\[
I_c = \left[ \frac{V + R_s \times I}{N_1 \times V_n} - 1 \right] \left\{ \frac{V + R_s \times I}{N_2 \times V_n} - 1 \right\} - \frac{V + R_s \times I}{R_p}
\]

where \( I_{D1}, I_{D2} \) are the reverse saturation currents, \( N_1, N_2 \) are the quality factors of diode \( D_1, D_2 \), thermal voltage \( V_t \) is defined by (3) with series connected cells being \( N, k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( q \) charge on an electron, \( T \)-junction temperature.

\[
V_t = \frac{N_s \times k \times T}{q}
\]

A Simulink model of PV panel is developed with the help of the equation based modelling of the PV cell [10]. The electrical parameters of simulated PV panel are extracted from data-sheet of SHARP NUU245P1 are shown in Table 1 at standard test conditions. A 15 kW PV generation is achieved by connecting 10 PV panels in series forming a string thus generating a voltage of 304 V at Maximum Power Point (MPP) and such 7 strings are connected in parallel to acquire a current of 56.56 A at MPP and the simulated P-V characteristics of PV generation at operating temperature of 250C and varying solar illumination levels is shown in Fig. 3.

Table 1 Electrical Parameters of PV panel and PV generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sheet Voltage (Voc)</th>
<th>PV Sheet Voltage (Voc)</th>
<th>PV Generation Voltage (Voc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.3 V</td>
<td>37.3 V</td>
<td>373 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Circuit Current (Isc)</td>
<td>8.7 A</td>
<td>30.75 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage at Pmax</td>
<td>30.4 V</td>
<td>60.9 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current at Pmax</td>
<td>8.08 A</td>
<td>7.97 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Power (Pmax)</td>
<td>245 W</td>
<td>17, 197 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Simulated P-V Characteristics of PV generation under different solar illumination levels
2.2 PMSG based wind Power generation:
The basic building blocks of wind generation as shown in block diagram of hybrid system Fig. 1 are Wind turbine which converts captured wind energy into mechanical energy, Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator (PMSG) to convert mechanical energy into electrical, Diode rectifier, and Hill climb search (HCS) MPPT algorithm. The mechanical power Po which is expressed as (4).

\[ P_o = \frac{1}{2} \rho A V^3 C_p \]  

where,
- \( P_o \) = Output power from wind turbine (W),
- \( \rho \) = air density (Kg/m\(^3\)),
- \( A \) = area swept by the rotor blades (m\(^2\)),
- \( V \) = velocity of the air (m/s), and
- \( C_p \) = Co-efficient of power.

The Co-efficient of power \( C_p \) from (4) The \( C_p \) is expressed in terms of tip-speed ratio \( \lambda \) and blade pitch angle \( \theta \) as (5).

\[
C_p(\lambda, \theta) = C_1 \left( \frac{1}{\beta} - C_2 \beta \theta - C_4 \theta^2 - C_5 \right) e^{-\frac{C_6}{\rho}} \]  

The values of coefficients \( C_1=0.5176 \), \( C_2=116 \), \( C_4=0.4 \), \( C_5=21 \), \( C_6=0.0068 \) and value of \( x \) can be determined by turbine utilized and \( \beta \) is defined as:

\[
\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\lambda + 0.080} - \frac{0.035}{1 + \theta^2} \]  

The mechanical torque \( T_m \) is expressed as (7).

\[
T_m = \frac{P_o}{\omega_m} \]  

where \( \omega_m \) = Rotor angular speed (rad/s)

\[
\omega_m = \frac{\lambda V}{R} \]  


The electrical torque of the PMSG is expressed as (9).

\[
T_e = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p}{2} \right) \left( 2 \omega_{d} i_q + (L_d - L_q) i_d i_d \right) \]  

where,
- \( L_d, L_q \) = direct and quadrature-axis Inductance of (H)
- \( \lambda_o \) = the amount of flux by permanent magnets (wb),
- \( i_d, i_q \) = d and q- axis current in (A),
- \( p \) = a number of pole pairs.

As \( L_q = L_d \) the torque equation is rewritten as:

\[
T_e = 1.5 p i_q \lambda_o \]  

The load torque is expressed as (11).

\[
T_L = T_e + D \omega_m + \int \frac{d \omega_m}{dt} \]  

Power output of PMSG is proportional to the velocity of the wind. As the velocity of wind varies generated voltage and its frequency varies. To make the output constant at desired level the voltage rectified using a full bridge diode rectifier as shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 Rectified output from Wind generation

The DC output from both RES generations are enhanced to anticipated level of 600 V using FLC-based boost converter and combined to form a common DC bus-bar as shown in Fig.1.

2.3 FLC-based MPPT controlled boost converter:

Fig. 5 Block diagram of boost converter for PV generation

Fig. 6 Block diagram of boost converter for Wind generation
The block diagram of FLC based boost converters are graphically represented in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 for PV and wind generation respectively. The output voltage of the boost converter is computed as [12].

\[ V_i DT = (V_s - V_i) (1 - D) I \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \( D \) is the duty cycle of the converter and \( T \) is the time period. The boosted dc voltage can be expressed as (13)

\[ \frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{1}{1 - D} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

**a) MPPT algorithm under consideration:**

The P&O algorithm is utilized to track the maximum power point (MPP) of the PV generation system and the flow chart of P & O algorithm is as shown in Fig. 7.

**Fig. 7 Flow chart of P&O algorithm**

HCS algorithm is employed to track the MPP of the wind-based generation system as shown in Fig. 8 and the MPP tracking process is realistically represented.

**b) Fuzzy implementation of P & O algorithm:**

The voltage (\( V_{pv} \)), current (\( I_{pv} \)) are sensed from the output of PV generation which are utilized to compute the control input to the fuzzy controller as shown in Fig. 5. The error (\( E \)) and change in error (\( CE \)) are computed (14)-(19) which are implemented in the subsystem shown in Fig. 5 [13].

\[ P = V \times I \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[ \Delta V = V(k) - V(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[ \Delta I = I(k) - I(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ \Delta P = P(k) - P(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[ E = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

\[ CE = E(k) - E(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \((k)\) is the current state of measured value and \((k-1)\) is the previous state of measured value. The rule base of fuzzy logic implementation of P & O algorithm is shown in Table 2.\[ NB_{pv} = \text{Negativelinear}, \text{NM}_{pv} = \text{Negativemedium}, \text{NS}_{pv} = \text{Negativewidth}, \text{ZE}_{pv} = \text{Zero}, \text{PS}_{pv} = \text{Positivemedium}, \text{PB}_{pv} = \text{Positivelinear}. \]

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuzzy rule base for P &amp; O algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( NB_{pv} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ZE_{pv} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( NS_{pv} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PM_{pv} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PB_{pv} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**c) Fuzzy Implementation of HCS algorithm:**

As shown in Fig. 6 the voltage (\( V \)), current (\( I \)) are sensed at the input of boost converter and speed (\( \omega_m \)) of the PMSG is sensed and given as input to compute the control input to the fuzzy implementation of the HCS algorithm. The error (\( E \)) and change in error (\( \Delta E \)) are computed (14), (20)-(23) which are implemented in the subsystem shown in Fig. 6.

\[ \Delta P = P(k) - P(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

\[ \Delta \omega_m = \omega_m(k) - \omega_m(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

\[ E = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta \omega_m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

\[ \Delta E = E(k) - E(k-1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)
where \((k)\) is the current state of measured value and \((k−1)\) is the previous state of measured value. The conditions to track the MPP are

\[
\frac{AP}{∆ω_m} = 0, \quad (ω_m = ω_{mpp}) \quad (24)
\]

\[
\frac{AP}{∆ω_m} > 0, \quad (ω_m < ω_{mpp}) \quad (25)
\]

\[
\frac{AP}{∆ω_m} < 0, \quad (ω_m > ω_{mpp}) \quad (26)
\]

The rule base of fuzzy logic implementation based on MPP tracking conditions given by (24)-(26) is shown in Table. 3 the suffix \(w\) is for wind power generation [13].

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>∆E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB(_w)</td>
<td>NS(_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB(_w)</td>
<td>ZE(_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS(_w)</td>
<td>NS(_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE(_w)</td>
<td>NS(_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(_w)</td>
<td>PS(_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB(_w)</td>
<td>PB(_w)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fuzzy implementation of MPPT algorithm is realized in MATLAB, Simulink. The DC output voltage from PV and wind-based generation are stepped to the anticipated level and are kept stable at the desired level regardless of variation in environmental or load conditions.

3. Voltage Regulated Inverter (VRI) Control Design:

The element wise representation of the control scheme for the inverter is shown in Fig. 9. In the autonomous mode of operation VRI performs crucial role in hybrid power to keep the output parameters constant at anticipated level. The significant feature of VRI control compute the control signals to minimizing the error between measured and the reference value. The PWM triggering pulses are generated to control the output of the hybrid power system. This is achieved using the Synchronous Reference Frame (SRF) implementation of sensed signals and a discrete PLL to control and generate triggering signals.

![Fig. 9 Voltage Regulated Inverter control scheme](image)

The control logic schematics is graphically represented in Fig. 10. The output voltage \(V_{abc}\) is sensed and is converted into \(V_{dq}\) and this \(V_{dq}\) reference frame using Clark transformation is expressed as (27) and (28) [14].

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_a \\
V_b \\
V_c \\
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\
0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\
1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\
0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_d \\
V_q \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (27)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_d \\
V_q \\
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos θ & -\sin θ \\
\sin θ & \cos θ \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{α} \\
V_{β} \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (28)
\]

A discrete PLL is used to generate the phase angle \(θ\) by computing with the desired frequency i.e. 50 Hz and angle \(θ^0\). Control logic computes the control signal for the inverter depending on the phase estimated. The \(V_d\), \(V_q\) computed are compared with the reference \(V_d = 1, V_q = 0\) and PI controller is employed to minimize the error. The signal from PI controller is converted into abc reference frame and gating signals are created to regulate the switching action of the VRI.

4. The Concurrent data of Solar Illumination and Wind Speed:

The concurrent information of wind speed and solar illumination are recorded using a Climate Recording System (CRS) installed at Bils-Pilani, Hyderabad campus. The solar illumination and wind speed measured by the CRS are tabulated using a data logger. The average and maximum value of solar illumination and wind speed are measured and recorded in the said format. From the historical data considering one-day solar illumination and wind speed

![Fig. 10 Block diagram of proposed inverter control](image)
data for investigating the performance of control logic implementation of VRI. The solar and wind speed data for a day are graphically represented in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12.

Fig. 11 24hr solar illumination profile measured

Fig. 12 24hr Wind speed profile measured

5. System Description and Simulation results:
A 20 kW MATLAB, Simulink model of a PV-Wind stand-alone system consisting of 5 kW Wind generation, 15 kW PV is implemented. The performance of control logic is analyzed under three distinct conditions (i) Persistent R-load of 20 kW, (ii) Varying load condition and the performance of VRI is investigated in terms of maintaining the parameters of the system constant.

5.1 Persistent R-load of 20 kW with instantaneous data of wind speed and solar illumination:
Performance of control logic implementation of VRI developed in Simulink is investigated with the recorded data of solar illumination, wind speed as shown Fig. 11, Fig. 12 under a persistent R-load of 20 kW. The desired control action is to maintain the parameters of the system constant under varying environmental conditions with a constant load on the system.

The simulated DC Bus-Bar Voltage, Current, and power inputted to the inverter are measured and graphically represented in Fig. 13. The system is simulated for 0.8 consider the scale of 0.1 is 1 hr as the PV based generation output is available from 8.00 am to 4.00 pm. It can be comprehended that the FLC-based MPPT controlled boost converter maintains the DC bus-bar voltage constant at 600 V and power transfer from both PV and Wind based generation irrespective of change in environmental condition.
Simulated AC Bus-Bar or load Voltage, current, inverter output Line voltage $V_{ab}$ before filter and modulation index are plotted in Fig. 14. From the Fig. 14 it can be comprehended that the discrete based PLL control implementation maintained the AC bus-bar voltage constant. The graphically representation of simulated frequency is plotted in Fig. 15. It shows minor variation in frequency with maximum 0.2 % difference. The performance of inverter is computed and tabulated as shown in Table 4. The efficiency of the inverter at full load unity power factor under variable environmental conditions computed is 98.01%.

Table 4
The performance of the inverter under varying environmental and constant load condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus-Bar</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>34.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Bus-Bar</td>
<td>$V_{bus} = \frac{V_{peak}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{40}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{3} \times 409}{2} = 28.25 \times 1$</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency of Inverter</td>
<td>$\frac{AC Power}{DC Power} = \frac{20,033}{20,430} \times 100 = 98.01%$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Variable resistive load with instantaneous information of wind speed and solar illumination:

Simulated AC Bus-Bar voltage, current, and power inputted to the inverter are measured and graphically represented in Fig. 17. The system is simulated for 1.6 sec consider the scale of 0.1 is 30 min as the PV based generation output is available from 8.00 am to 4.00 pm and in order to have a clear understanding of the system under different loading condition. It can be comprehended that the FLC-based MPPT controlled boost converter maintains the DC bus-bar voltage constant at 600 V. Simulated AC Bus-Bar voltage, current, inverter output Line voltage $V_{ab}$ before filter and modulation index are plotted in Fig. 18.

Fig. 16 varying resistive load pattern

The performance of control logic implementation of VRI developed is investigated with recorded data of solar illumination, wind speed as shown Fig. 11, Fig. 12 under variable resistive load as shown in Fig. 16. The desired control action is to maintain the output voltage and frequency of the PV-Wind hybrid system constant under varying environmental and load condition on the system. The simulated DC Bus-Bar Voltage, Current, and power are graphically represented in Fig. 17. The system is simulated for 1.6 sec consider the scale of 0.1 is 30 min as the PV based generation output is available from 8.00 am to 4.00 pm and in order to have a clear understanding of the system under different loading condition. It can be comprehended that the FLC-based MPPT controlled boost converter maintains the DC bus-bar voltage constant at 600 V. Simulated AC Bus-Bar voltage, current, inverter output Line voltage $V_{ab}$ before filter and modulation index are plotted in Fig. 18.

Fig. 17 Simulated DC Bus-Bar Voltage, Current, and Power

Fig. 18 Simulated Load Voltage, current, Inverter output voltage, and modulation index.
From Fig. 18 it can be apprehended that the discrete based PLL control implementation maintained the AC bus-bar voltage constant. Frequency of hybrid system is graphically represented in Fig. 19 it can be concluded that the control logic implementation of VRI worked as anticipated by maintaining the frequency at 50 Hz. The performance of inverter is computed and tabulated in Table 5.

Table 5
Performance of inverter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-0.2</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>8.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6-1.8</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>8.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2-0.4</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>12.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2-1.4</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>12.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4-0.6</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>16.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.2</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>16.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6-1.0</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>20.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>20.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequency deviation of the system under two different loading conditions considered and simulated are tabulated as shown in Table 6. It is clear from the Table 6 that the maximum and minimum deviation of the system under two loading conditions simulated are 0.28 % and 0.02 %. The Discrete PLL based control logic implementation of inverter maintained the frequency of system within the limits of grid code [15].

Table 6
Frequency deviation of the system under two loading conditions considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Negative Freq. Deviation</th>
<th>Positive Freq. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case (i)</td>
<td>49.86</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case (ii)</td>
<td>49.96</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusion:
In this paper implementation of VRI for 20 kW hybrid power system in MATLAB. Simulink is done. The Mathematical modeling of RES selected for the study i.e. Photovoltaic and Wind. FLC-based MPPT controlled boost converter is simulated. The performance of FLC-based boost converter and VRI are investigated for PV, Wind based generation individually and interconnected hybrid arrangement for varying load situations. In interconnected system, a common DC bus-bar with 600 V is formed and this DC voltage. The inverter controls the AC voltage with a magnitude of 415 V RMS and frequency 50 ± 1% Hz irrespective of change in load conditions. It can be concluded from the simulation results that the load voltage is sustained and frequency 50 Hz (50.03 Hz to 49.86 Hz) regardless of variation in load from 8 kW to 20 kW.

The proposed discrete PLL based control scheme operates as desired by maintaining the load frequency and voltage constant thereby increasing the reliability, efficiency of the system. Future work may include analyzing performance of the voltage regulated inverter for grid integration and realization of simulation results with a prototype model development.
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Nomenclature:

- PV - Photovoltaic
- PMSG - Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator
- RES - Renewable Energy Source
- MPPT - Maximum Power Point Tracker
- P & O - Perturb and Observe
- HCS - Hill Climb Search
- MPP - Maximum Power Point
- FLC - Fuzzy Logic Control
- PLL - Phase Lock Loop
- VRI - Voltage Regulated Inverter
- DC - Direct Current
- AC - Alternate Current
- PWM - Pulse Width Modulation
- P-V - Power-Voltage
- R-load - Resistive load
- θ - Phase angle
- E - Error
- ΔE, CE - Change in Error
- k - Current state
- k-1 - Previous state
- ΔP - Change in Power
- ΔV - Change in Voltage
- ΔI - Change in current
- V_{rms} - RMS value of the Voltage
- V_{peak} - Peak value of the Voltage
- V_{Labc} - Three phase line voltage
- V_d, V_q - Direct and quadrature axis Voltage
- CRS - Climate Recording System
- SRF - Synchronous Reference Frame

