Improved dP P&O MPPT for Photovoltaic System Using Solar Irradiation Modeling
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Abstract – Maximum power point (MPP) tracking (MPPT) techniques are widely used in photovoltaic (PV) systems to build PV array generate peak power which depends on solar irradiation. In this paper, an improved dP P&O MPPT algorithm is developed based on solar irradiation modeling. This algorithm aims to overcome the confusion in the direction of tracking during rapidly changing solar irradiance using half sine wave modeling of solar irradiation. The proposed algorithm gives fast tracking compared to existing dP P&O method. Operation point of the photovoltaic system is controlled via a boost type DC–DC converter. The complete system is modeled and simulated in the MATLAB using SIMULINK. The proposed MPPT and existing dP P&O MPPT algorithms are simulated and the performance comparison is made. Simulation result shows that the proposed algorithm is faster than the dP P&O algorithm.
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1. Introduction

Maximum power point tracking is one of the major concerns in PV systems and plays a vital role in utilization of PV systems for practical applications. Each PV cell has a special point named maximum power point (MPP) on its operational curve (i.e. current-voltage or power-voltage curve) in which it can produce maximum possible power. These operational curves change nonlinearly with changes in irradiance and temperature of environment. So, the nonlinear dependency of MPP to environment parameters, motivate the development of various maximum power point tracking algorithms. These algorithms differ in terms of the amount of energy extracted from the PV panel (Tracking factor), dynamic response, complexity, adaptation to environment changes and cost of implementation [1]-[3]. In order to improve the efficiency of the PV system, many MPPT techniques like Constant voltage control, Perturb & Observe, Incremental Conductance and dP P&O method have been developed [4]-[8].

Among these MPPT methods, the Perturb & Observe (P&O) method is most widely used. P&O is also called as hill climbing method because it assures the rise of the curve until MPP and the fall after that point. This method is easy to implement but can cause oscillations in power output and can sometimes show tracking failures in rapid environmental changes [4] i.e. locating operating point away from MPP when there is a sudden change in voltage characteristics. The main problem with the conventional P&O algorithm is slow response in reaching the maximum power point. To overcome this problem, an improved dP P&O MPPT algorithm is developed. The dP-P&O method can overcomes particular draw back in some extent but both of this algorithms show lesser efficiency in lower irradiation levels.

The output power of a PV panel is determined by the solar irradiation and the temperature of the panel. At a certain atmospheric condition, the output power of a PV panel depends on the terminal voltage of the system. To maximize the power output, an efficient low-cost DC/DC converter with appropriate MPPT algorithm is commonly employed to control the terminal voltage of the PV system in various solar radiation conditions. The different DC/DC converter topologies used with PV systems are Buck converter [9], Boost converter [10], [11], Cuk converter [12], [13], Sepic converter [14], [15], Buck Boost converter [16], [17] and so on. In this paper, an improved dP P&O MPPT method with DC–DC boost converter is proposed.

This paper is organized as follows: dP P&O algorithm is reviewed in Section 2. Proposed MPPT algorithm is presented in Section 3. Section 4 presents the simulation results and analysis. Section 5 gives the conclusion.

2. Basics of dP P&O MPPT Method

The limitation of the P&O algorithm under rapidly
varying irradiation is addressed and a simple improvement is proposed called the dP–P&O method which was developed by Dezso Sera et al. [18], [19]. It is achieved by the additional measurement of power in the middle of the MPPT sampling period (T) without any perturbation. As shown in Fig. 1 the samples \( P_k \) and \( P_{k+1} \) are measured at the sampling interval \( k \) and \( k+1 \). The unperturbed power \( P_x \) is chosen in-between the measured samples \( P_k \) and \( P_{k+1} \). The change of power between \( P_x \) and \( P_{k+1} \) reflects the variation in solar irradiation due to the change of environmental conditions. The difference in power of the successive samples is calculated as \( dP \) which represents change in power caused by perturbation of MPPT.

![Fig. 1. Measurement of the power between two MPPT sampling instances](image)

Assuming the rate of change in the irradiation is constant over the sampling interval of the MPPT, the \( dP \) can be calculated by,

\[
dP = dP_1 - dP_2 = (P_X - P_k) - (P_{k+1} - P_X) = 2P_X - P_{k+1} - P_k \tag{1}
\]

Determining the \( dP \) allows to track in the correct direction during irradiance changes. The output of the \( dP \) reflects the changes due to the perturbation of MPPT. The \( dP \) P&O algorithm has the ability to track the MPP in the right direction under rapidly changing irradiation unlike the conventional P&O algorithm. The \( dP \) P&O method gives higher efficiency under rapid variation in solar irradiation but it less efficient under low irradiation levels and tracking of MPP is time consuming. Hence, improved \( dP \) P&O MPPT method is proposed in this work.

3. The Proposed Method

The block diagram of the proposed PV system which consists of PV model, a boost converter, solar irradiation modeling based improved \( dP \) P&O MPPT method and PWM generator is depicted in Fig. 2. Proposed MPPT method determines the controller command for any time according to PV output variables and boost converter regulates the voltage and current of PV system. So, output power and PV power level are controlled to obtain maximum power extraction from PV system.

![Fig. 2. Block diagram of the proposed PV system](image)

It is known that solar irradiation is a reliable source of renewable energy and using proper modeling the maximum power can be derived from solar radiation. Although many efficient and fast response MPPT algorithms have been developed, few limitations are still not attended by these algorithms. The major challenges in MPP tracking are partial shadowing, local MPP tracking instead of global MPP and precision in tracking MPP in rapidly changing solar irradiation.

The existing \( dP \) P&O MPPT method is modified using Half sine wave model for predicting the change in solar radiation levels. The proposed algorithm aims to overcome the confusion in the direction of tracking during rapidly changing solar irradiance using solar irradiation modeling. The existing \( dP \) P&O MPPT method overcomes this problem, but it takes much time for tracking. The proposed MPPT method tracks maximum power point with less number of iterations compared to existing technique. This strategy leads to faster and better tracking when the irradiance is changing rapidly and results lower oscillations around the MPP in steady-state conditions.

3.1 Solar irradiation modeling

It is known that sun is a singular source of renewable energy which emits energy as electromagnetic radiation at an extremely large and relatively constant rate, 24 hours per day, 365 days of the year. This energy is more than sufficient to meet current energy demand of the world. Solar irradiance is the rate at which solar energy reaches a unit area at the earth and solar radiation is simply the integration or summation of solar irradiance over a time period.

Solar irradiance is an instantaneous measure of rate and can vary over time. It is measured in watts per square meter (W/m²). The maximum solar irradiance value is used to determine the peak rate of energy input into the system hence the designer needs to know the variation of solar irradiance over time in order to optimize the MPPT design.

The intensity of the radiation leaving the sun is
relatively constant. The total amount of energy accumulated over a year is given as an average solar radiation with respect to the latitude which is shown in Fig. 3 [20]. The solar irradiation model can be classified into two types one is Simple Half Sine Model and another one is Hottel’s Clear Day Model.

3.2 Improved dP P&O MPPT method

The dP P&O method overcomes the drawback of tracking in wrong direction by taking additional sample of power in the middle of the MPPT sampling without any perturbation. This process consumes much time to track the maximum power point. In the Improved dP P&O MPPT method, there is no need to take additional samples to predict the direction of tracking. Using half sine wave solar radiation modeling, the tracking direction is predicted without using the additional samples. Hence, it is faster than the dP P&O method.

The two major steps of the proposed method are computing difference in power between successive samples and MPP tracking. In the half sine wave solar radiation model, the total period of twelve hours is split into three time intervals $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$ based on the variation in solar radiation as increasing, peak and decreasing phases. Normally, first phase is the start of the day when the solar radiation starts increasing towards the peak. After that it keeps decreasing in the third phase. During $T_2$, solar radiation is approximately maximum. Depends on solar radiation forecasting, exact time intervals are assigned for this three phases. For example in a clear day, $T_1$ may be from morning 6 AM to 11 AM, $T_2$ is from 11 AM to 2 PM and $T_3$ is 2 PM to 6 PM as shown in Fig 5.

Assume that ‘n’ power samples, say $P_i$ where $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$ are taken during the total time period $T$ per day, whereas $T$ is the sum of $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$. The number of samples taken during each phase is in proportion of 40%, 20% and 40% of ‘$n$’. The variation in solar radiation is modeled as follows:

Based on the half sine wave modeling, the variation in successive power samples measured in each phases is given in table 1.
Table 1. Rate of power samples measured / phase

| $T_1$: | $P_1 < P_2 < P_3 < \ldots \ldots < P_j$ | Where, $j = 0.4 \, n$ |
| $T_2$: | $P_{k+1} = P_{k+2} = P_{k+3} = \ldots = P_k$ | $\geq$ Threshold | Where, $k = 0.6 \, n$ |
| $T_3$: | $P_{k+1} > P_{k+2} > P_{k+3} > \ldots \ldots > P_n$ | Where, $n = 0.4 \, n$ |

The difference in power between the successive samples is calculated as, $dP = P_{i+1} - P_i$. Where, $dP$ represents the variation in solar radiation. The relationship among the difference is derived using the half sine wave modeling as given in table 2.

Table 2. Relationship between the perturbed powers

| $T_1$: | $dP_1 < dP_2 < dP_3 < \ldots \ldots < dP_{j-1}$ |
| $T_2$: | $(dP_{j+1}, dP_{j+2}, dP_{j+3}, \ldots, dP_k) = 0$ |
| $T_3$: | $dP_{k+1} > dP_{k+2} > dP_{k+3} > \ldots \ldots > dP_{n-1}$ |

This relationship is used for the prediction of MPP without any confusion in the direction and without taking any additional samples within the sampling period. Hence the proposed method is faster than the conventional dP P&O method. The flowchart of the proposed improved algorithm is shown in Fig. 6. The operating voltage of the PV array is perturbed in the pre-defined direction as per half sine wave modeling and the perturbation move towards MPP.

### 4. Simulation and Analysis

#### 4.1 Simulation Model and Parameters

A PV system includes the following to investigate the accuracy and performance of the proposed method: PV modules, a DC/DC boost converter, a resistive load, and a control system, which are considered and simulated in MATLAB/Simulink software. The simulation model of dP P&O and improved dP P&O are shown in Fig. 7 and 8 respectively. The parameters of this PV system are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-circuit current</td>
<td>2.5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-circuit voltage</td>
<td>23 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current at $P_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2.2 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage at $P_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>18 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Power</td>
<td>48 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>470 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>447.66 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{load}}$</td>
<td>1500 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of MOSFET</td>
<td>50 KHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2 Simulation Result and Analysis

The simulation models (Fig. 7 and 8) was simulated and analyzed to compare the performance of the proposed improved dP P&O MPPT method with that of dP P&O MPPT method.

Fig. 7. Simulink block diagram of dP P&O method

In the Fig.7, $v_{\text{old}}$ and $I_{\text{old}}$ stand for the sampling value of the voltage and current; $V_{\text{new}}$ and $I_{\text{new}}$ represent the sampling value of the voltage and current in previous cycle.
The input solar irradiation samples (from 6 AM to 6 PM) are obtained from Centre for Research on Alternate Energy laboratory at our institution and the same are given for to test the performance of dP P&O and the improved dP P&O MPPT algorithm. 24 samples are chosen as the input of these systems (1 sample per 30 minutes) as shown in Fig. 10.

![Simulink block diagram of improved dp P&O method](image)

**Fig. 8.** Simulink block diagram of improved dp P&O method

The voltage, current and power across the load resistance obtained using the dP P&O and improved dP P&O are presented in Fig. 11 and the MPPT trajectories of those methods are shows in Fig. 12. dP P&O and improved dP P&O take approximately 1.7 and 0.5 s, respectively, to reach the MPP. The time response of improved dP P&O is better than the existing method. The accuracy of improved dP P&O is higher than that of dP P&O.

![Sample solar radiation pattern for a day (July 1, 2016)](image)

**Fig. 9.** Sample solar radiation pattern for a day (July 1, 2016)

![The sampled radiation data on July, 1, 2016](image)

**Fig. 10.** The sampled radiation data on July, 1, 2016

![Output Voltage](image)

![Output Current](image)

![Output Power](image)

(a) Output Voltage

![Output Current](image)

(b) Output Current

The voltage, current and power across the load resistance obtained using the dP P&O and improved dP P&O are presented in Fig. 11 and the MPPT trajectories of those methods are shows in Fig. 12. dP P&O and improved dP P&O take approximately 1.7 and 0.5 s, respectively, to reach the MPP. The time response of improved dP P&O is better than the existing method. The accuracy of improved dP P&O is higher than that of dP P&O.
Fig. 11. Output voltage, Current and Power of load using (a) dP P&O method and (b) Improved dP P&O method

Fig. 12. Tracking trajectories of (a) dP P&O and (b) Improved dP P&O method

The average power and response time are compared and given in Table 4 for the existing and proposed MPPT algorithms. The convergence time of improved dP P&O MPPT method is shorter than dP P&O.

Table 4. Performance comparison of existing and proposed MPPT algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples/day, n</th>
<th>MPPT method</th>
<th>Average power (W)</th>
<th>Average Tracking time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing dP P&amp;O</td>
<td>Improved dP P&amp;O</td>
<td>Existing dP P&amp;O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1: No of Samples 40% of n = 10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2: No of Samples 20% of n = 4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3: No of Samples 40% of n = 10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tracking power and tracking time per day</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In this paper, an improved dP P&O MPPT algorithm has been developed. PV system, proposed algorithm and Boost converter have been simulated on MATLAB-Simulink. From the simulation results, it knows that the improved dP P&O MPPT method is faster than the existing dP P&O method. In future, different solar irradiation modeling will be used to improve the efficiency of maximum power point tracking.
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