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Abstract: This article presents the powers control of a variable speed wind turbine (WT) based on a doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) because of their advantages in terms of economy and control. The considered system consists of a DFIG whose stator is connected directly to the electrical network and its rotor is supplied by a seven-level inverter with structure NPC are well used to minimize the harmonics absorbed by the DFIG, reducing switching frequency, high power electronic applications because of their ability to generate a very good quality of waveforms, and their low voltage stress across the power devices. In order to control independently active and reactive powers provided by the stator side of the DFIG to the grid and ensure high performance and a better execution, three types of robust controllers have been studied and compared in terms of power reference tracking, response to sudden speed variations, sensitivity to perturbations and robustness against machine parameters variations.
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1. Introduction

Wind energy has the most contribution for power generation among different renewable energy resources; this is so because of its potential advantageous such as free availability of wind, ability to exploit in high power, other land around uses of wind farms and as the important one it is relatively inexpensive to build wind farm [1].

The wind energy community has dedicated a huge effort to develop improved condition monitoring strategies. Fault detection and isolation schemes and fault tolerant control strategies applicable to wind turbines (WT) [2].

Wind power has established itself as a main source for the generation of electricity in the past decade by delivering at least 3.4% of the world's electricity in 2014, a figure expected to increase to 6-8% by 2020 and to 8-17% by 2030 [3,4].

In the development of WT technologies, DFIGs are the most popular due to their numerous advantages including independent control of both active and reactive powers, variable speed operation, four-quadrant active and reactive power capabilities, high energy efficiency, and low size converters [5-8]. These advantages are achieved through controlling the rotor voltage or current by a converter while the stator is directly connected to the grid [9].

Research on the control and operation for DFIG under distorted grid voltage conditions has been studied in [10]. Studied in this paper is shown in Fig.1. In this diagram, mechanical energy is produced by a WT and provided to a DFIG through a gear box. The back-to-back control system and voltage source converters comprise rotor-side and grid-side converters. The grid side converter (GSC) is used to control the dc-link voltage and reactive power exchanged with the grid and is connected to the grid via three chokes to improve the current harmonic distortion. The rotor side converter (RSC) is used to control the generator speed and reactive power [11]. The RSC controls the generator to achieve maximum power point tracking. In one classification, the control approaches of the DFIG are categorized in two groups: classic control approaches using PI regulators and advanced control approaches.

High power applications in electrical traction require high voltage supply for motors. The only way to use multilevel converters is actually to generate voltages with high magnitudes [12]. Different topologies are presented in the literature as multilevel converters show some of the characteristics in common which lead to some of these clear advantages [13]:

- Reduction in the voltages applied to the main power switches, enabling operation at higher load voltages,
- Transient voltages automatically limited,
- Production of higher power quality waveform, improved electromagnetic compatibility
- They draw input current with very low distortion.

These converters are built with a series connection of switches (Neutral Point Clamped Converters) or commutation cells (Flying Capacitor Converter) or full bridge converters (Cascaded multilevel converters)
Two-level converter generates an output voltage with two magnitude levels with respect to the negative terminal of the capacitor. In this article, we propose to use a seven-level inverter with structure NPC to control the RSC of the DFIG. A control strategy of this inverter is developed. The performances of this algorithm are analyzed on the basis of the characteristic of regulating and the rate of harmonic. A knowledge model of this converter using switching functions and a SPWM (Sinusoidal Pulse-Width Modulation) strategy is detailed. The first objective of using seven-level inverter is to minimize the harmonics absorbed by the DFIG.

The sliding mode control achieves robust control by adding a discontinuous control signal across the sliding surface, satisfying the sliding condition. Nevertheless, this type of control has an essential disadvantage, which is the chattering phenomenon caused by the discontinuous control action. To treat these difficulties, several modifications to the original sliding control law have been proposed, the most popular being the boundary layer approach [14, 15].

The disadvantages of the structure are complex network structure and inference mechanism. Therefore, to overcome the disadvantages of the aforementioned SMC, a new adaptive sliding mode controller (ASMC) is developed to estimate the unknown bound of uncertainty which is obtained in the sense of Lyapunov stability theorem to minimize the control effort and attenuate chattering [16]. In addition, estimating the disturbance in terms of the sliding function dynamics is that, it results in a simple linear dynamics and hence the estimator can be made simple [18]. And scheme guarantees asymptotic convergence of states and external disturbances [19]. An adaptive sliding mode controller is developed to track the speed for maximum power extraction.

In order to reduce the chattering problem in SMC, a small boundary layer around the sliding surface. However, this method can lead to solve the chattering problem but usually there exist a finite steady state error and so asymptotical convergence is lost. As another solution, adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control (AFSMC) [20]. An AFSMC was proposed by combining the tuning online characteristic of an AFC and the robust characteristic of a conventional SMC. In an AFSMC, the controller includes two parts: a fuzzy equivalent controller designed based on an AFC scheme and a reaching controller based on a SMC scheme [21]. An AFSMC that control the uncertain nonlinear chaotic systems and unknown robotic systems [22], the controller proposed is composed of two terms: an auxiliary control law which is designed to compensate and uncertainties of parameters and a primary control law which ensure a good tracking. Another advantages of this controller is the using of Lyapunov approach to guarantee the stability and convergence analysis [23]. As another solution to eliminate chattering phenomenon and achieve zero steady state error [20].

The paper is organized as follows: the whole system modeling is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the field oriented control strategy of the DFIG is discussed. Section 4 provides the control of stator active and reactive powers of the DFIG by using three different controllers: SMC, ASMC and AFSMC. The three controllers are compared by simulation in Section 5 regarding power reference tracking, sensitivity to perturbations and robustness against machine parameters variations. Finally, the main conclusions of the work are drawn in Section 6.

2. System modeling
2.1. The WT model

For a horizontal axis wind turbine, the output mechanical power extracted from the wind is given by [24]:

$$P_t = \frac{1}{2}C_p(\lambda, \beta)\pi R^2 \rho v^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, $C_p$ is the power coefficient which is a function of both tip speed ratio $\lambda$, and blade pitch angle $\beta$ (deg), and $v$ is the wind speed (m/s), $\rho$ is the air density (kg/m$^3$), and $R$ is the radius of the turbine (m). In this work, the $C_p$ equation is approximated using a non-linear function according to [25]:

$$C_p = (0.5 - 0.167)(\beta - 2)\sin\left[\frac{\pi(\lambda + 0.1)}{18.5 - 0.3(\beta - 2)}\right] - 0.0018(\lambda - 3)(\beta - 2)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

The tip speed ratio is given by:

$$\lambda = \frac{\Omega R}{v}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of WT.
2.2. NPC Structure of Seven Levels Inverter

2.2.1 General structure of the inverter on seven-level

The NPC structure of three-phase seven levels inverter is illustrated on Fig. 2. It consists of three symmetrical arms with 8 commutation cells. Each cell is fed by a continuous voltage $U_c$ assumed constant and it is related to the input voltage $U_S$ by [26]:

$$U_c = \frac{U_S}{6}$$ (4)

The topological analysis, carried out for this inverter, reveals that there are seven, possible and controllable, configurations. Table 1 gives switches logical states of an arm k (k=1, 2, 3) according to the $V_{km}$ arm voltage.

Table 1. Logical states of the switches for seven levels inverter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V_{km}$</th>
<th>$B_{k1}$</th>
<th>$B_{k2}$</th>
<th>$B_{k3}$</th>
<th>$B_{k4}$</th>
<th>$B_{k5}$</th>
<th>$B_{k6}$</th>
<th>$B_{k7}$</th>
<th>$B_{k8}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3U_C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2U_C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-U_C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2U_C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3U_C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To ensure continuous operation of inverter, the switches states must obey to a complementary control definite by the following relations:

$$\begin{align*}
B_{k1} &= B_{k6} \\
B_{k2} &= B_{k5} \\
B_{k3} &= B_{k4} \\
B_{k4} &= B_{k8}
\end{align*}$$

Where $B_k$s base signal of the transistors $T_{ks}$ and $k$ indicates the number of the arm (1, 2 or 3).

2.2.2 Order of inverter on seven-level with structure NPC with SPWM

In this part, we present the SPWM strategy used to control of the seven-level inverter. The SPWM is also known as the multi-carrier PWM because it relies on a comparison between a sinusoidal reference waveform and horizontal shifted carrier waveforms (see Fig. 3). The carriers are in continuous bands around the zero reference. They have the same amplitude $A_r$ and the same frequency $f_c$. The sine reference waveform has a frequency $f_r$ and an amplitude $A_r$. At each instant, the result of the comparison is 1 if the triangular carrier is greater than the reference signal and 0 otherwise. The output of the modulator is the sum of the different comparisons which represents the voltage level. The strategy is therefore characterized by the two following parameters, respectively called the modulation index and the modulation rate [27]:

$$m = \frac{f_c}{f_r}$$ (6)

$$r = \frac{A_r}{3A_s}$$ (7)

The reference voltages are given as follows:

$$u_r = u_{ref} \sin \left(2\pi f_r t - (j-1)\frac{2\pi}{3}\right), (i,j) \in \{(a.1),(b,2),(c,3)\}$$ (8)

2.3. Doubly fed induction generator modeling

The dynamic voltages and fluxes equations of the DFIG in a synchronous reference frame (d-q) rotating at an angular speed of $\omega_r$. The stator and rotor voltages are given, respectively, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= R_s I_{ds} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{ds} - \omega_r \psi_{qs} \\
V_{qs} &= R_s I_{qs} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{qs} + \omega_r \psi_{ds} \\
V_{dr} &= R_s I_{dr} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{dr} - \omega_r \psi_{qr} \\
V_{qr} &= R_s I_{qr} + \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{qr} + \omega_r \psi_{dr}
\end{align*}
$$

The stator and rotor angular velocities are linked by the following relation : $\omega_r = \omega_s + \omega_s$. The generator mechanical and electromagnetic torques ($C_{em}$ and $C_s$) are related, if the viscous friction coefficient $f$ is taken into account, as follows:

$$C_{em} = C_s + J \frac{d\Omega}{dt} + f\Omega$$ (10)

Where the electromagnetic torque $C_{em}$ is defined by:

$$C_{em} = p \frac{M}{L_s} (\psi_{qs} I_{dr} - \psi_{qs} I_{dr})$$ (11)

![Fig. 3. Multicarrier SPWM for seven-level inverter.](image-url)
Field oriented control of the DFIG

In order to easily control the production of electricity by the wind turbine, we will carry out an independent control of active and reactive powers by orientation of the stator flux.

By choosing a diphasic reference frame \(d-q\) related to the stator spinning field pattern and aligning the stator vector flux with the axis \(d\), we can write:

\[
\psi_{ds} = \psi_s \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{qs} = 0
\]

The electromagnetic torque becomes:

\[
C_{em} = -\rho \frac{M}{L_s} I_s \psi_{ds}
\]

The following rotor flux and the stator voltage can be rewritten as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\psi_s = L_s I_{ds} + M I_{dr} \\
0 = L_s I_{qs} + M I_{qr}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{cases}
V_{ds} = 0 \\
V_{qs} = \omega \psi_s
\end{cases}
\]

By supposing that the electrical supply network is stable, having for simple voltage \(V_s\), which led to a stator flux \(\psi_s\) constant. This consideration associated with Eqs.14 shows that the electromagnetic torque only depends on the \(q\)-axis rotor current component. Using Eq.15, a relation between the stator and rotor currents can be established:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{ds} &= -\frac{M}{L_s} I_{dr} + \frac{\psi_s}{L_s} \\
I_{qs} &= -\frac{M}{L_s} I_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]

The stator active and reactive powers are written:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= V_{ds} I_{ds} + V_{qs} I_{qs} \\
Q_s &= V_{qs} I_{ds} - V_{qs} I_{qs}
\end{align*}
\]

By using Eqs.9, 15, 16 and 17, the statoric active and reactive power, the rotoric fluxes and voltages can be written versus rotoric currents as:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_s &= -\frac{\alpha_2 M}{L_s} I_{qr} \\
Q_s &= -\frac{\alpha_2 M}{L_s} I_{dr} + \frac{\alpha_1 \psi_s^2}{L_s} \\
\psi_{dr} &= (L_s - M^2/L_s) I_{dr} + \frac{M \psi_s}{L_s} \\
\psi_{qr} &= (L_s - M^2/L_s) I_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]

In steady state, the second derivative terms of the two equations in 20 are nil. We can thus write:

Fig. 2. Three-phase seven levels inverter with NPC
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In order to easily control the production of electricity by the wind turbine, we will carry out an independent control of active and reactive powers by orientation of the stator flux.
\[
\begin{align*}
V_{dr} &= R_{dr}I_{dr} - g_{dr}(L_{r}\frac{M^2}{L_s})I_{eqr} \\
V_{qr} &= R_{qr}I_{qr} + g_{qr}(L_{r}\frac{M^2}{L_s})I_{eqr} + g_{dr}\frac{M\psi_{r}}{L_s}
\end{align*}
\]

The third term, which constitutes cross-coupling terms, can be neglected because of their small influence. These terms can be compensated by an adequate synthesis of the regulators in the control loops.

With the hypothesis that led to a stator flux \(\psi_s\) constant, the electrical supply network is stable, having for simple voltage \(V_s\).

4. Control strategies of the DFIG

In this section, we have chosen to compare the performances of the DFIG with three different nonlinear controllers: SMC, ASMC and AFSCM.

The control system can be designed as shown in Fig. 4. Based on relations (17) and (20), the blocks \(R_p, R_q, R_{qr}\) and \(R_{dr}\) represent respectively the stator powers and the rotor currents regulators.

4.1. Sliding mode controller synthesis

The sliding mode technique is developed from variable structure control to solve the disadvantages of other designs of nonlinear control systems. The sliding mode is a technique to adjust feedback by previously defining a surface. The system which is controlled will be forced to that surface, then the behavior of the system slides to the desired equilibrium point. The control function will satisfy reaching conditions in the following form:

\[
V_{com} = V_{eq} + V_n
\]

\(K\) is a constant and is chosen to compensate for uncertainties and disturbances, \(sat(S(X)/\delta)\) is the proposed saturation function, \(\delta\) is the boundary layer thickness. In this paper we propose the Slotine method.

\[
S(X) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \lambda\right)^{n-1} e
\]

Here, \(e\) is the tracking error vector, \(\lambda\) is a positive coefficient and \(n\) is the relative degree.

In our study, the control of the active stator power \(P_s\) and reactive stator power \(Q_s\) to their references \(P_{s,ref}\) and \(Q_{s,ref}\) respectively. The SMC control scheme is shown in Fig. 5, we choose the error between the measured and references stator powers as sliding mode surfaces, so we can write the following expression:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_p &= P_{s,ref} - P_s \\
S_q &= Q_{s,ref} - Q_s
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 5. Block diagram of the sliding mode controller (SMC)

The first order derivative of (24), gives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{S}_d &= \dot{P}_{s,ref} - \dot{P}_s \\
\dot{S}_q &= \dot{Q}_{s,ref} - \dot{Q}_s
\end{align*}
\]

Replacing the powers in (25) by their expressions given in (18), one obtains:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{S}_d &= \dot{P}_{s,ref} - \frac{\omega_{yr}M}{L_s}i_{eqr} \\
\dot{S}_q &= \dot{Q}_{s,ref} - \frac{\omega_{yr}M}{L_s}i_{eqr} - \frac{\omega_{yr}^2}{L_s}I_{dr}
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain good performances, dynamic and commutations around the surfaces, the control vector \(V_{eq}\) is imposed as follows:

\[
V_{eq} = V_{eq} + K_{sat}\left(S_{eq}\right)
\]

The sliding mode will exist only if the following condition is met:

\[
S.S < 0
\]

4.2. Adaptive sliding mode controller

The main obstacles for application of sliding mode control are two phenomena: high activity of control action and chattering. In this section we propose an adaptive sliding mode controller whose switching gain is adapted based on the disturbance estimation,
this control proposed in [28]. The ASMC could be considered as an advance version of basic sliding mode control that is also in the topics of many advance control studies. The ASMC control scheme is shown in Fig. 6. This method is often used low overshoot, low setting time, and robustness against disturbance, chattering reduction, no need for the prior knowledge of uncertainty bound, minimum control effort. The control input in the ASMC is given in:

\[ V_{\text{con}} = V_{eq} + V_{\text{ASMC}} \] (30)

\[ V_{\text{ASMC}} = V_{\text{eq}}K_{\text{asmc}}(t)\text{sat}(S(x)/\delta) \] (31)

Where \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) \) is the reaching gain which is achieved by adaptation law:

\[ K_{\text{asmc}}(t) = -\gamma s \] (32)

Where \( \gamma \) is a positive constant and \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) \) is the adaptive controller gain. This control law makes \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) \) to increase until the system reaches the switching manifold. Whenever \( s = 0 \) is not reachable in practical SMC which causes an increasing \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) \) and high gain introduces chattering. Further, \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) = 0 \) which results in saturation of the control gain \( K_{\text{asmc}}(t) \).

4.3. Adaptive fuzzy sliding mode controller

The disadvantage of sliding mode controllers is that the discontinuous control signal produces chattering. In order to eliminate the chattering phenomenon, we propose to use the adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control. This paper proposes an AFSMC which is designed to control the active and reactive power of the DFIG shown in Fig. 7. Besides advantage of stability and robustness and convergence of the control system are guaranteed by using the Lyapunov method. This control following from:

\[ V_{\text{con}} = V_{eq} + V_{\text{AFSMC}} \] (33)

\[ V_{\text{AFSMC}} \] is obtained by:

\[ V_{\text{AFSMC}} = K_{\text{asmc}}(t)\text{sat}(S(x)/\delta) \] (34)

\[ V_{\text{sat}} = \text{sat}(S(x)/\delta) \] (35)
The fuzzy sliding mode controller (FSMC) is a modification of the sliding mode controller, where the switching controller term $\text{sat}(S(x)/\delta)$. And $K_{\text{FSMC}}(t)$ is obtained by Eqs (32), the universes of discourses are first partitioned into the seven linguistic variables NB, NM, NS, EZ, PP, PM, PG, triangular membership functions are chosen to represent the linguistic variables and fuzzy singletons for the outputs are used. The fuzzy rules that produce these control actions are reported in Table 2.

We use the following designations for membership functions:
- NB: Negative Big,
- NM: Negative Middle,
- NS: Negative Small,
- EZ: Equal Zero,
- PS: Positive Small,
- PM: Positive Middle,
- PB: Positive Big.

These choices are described in Fig. 8.

Table 2. Matrix of inference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>EZ</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Simulation results and discussions

In this section, simulation are realized with a 7.5 KW generator coupled to a 380V/50Hz grid. Parameters of the machine are given in appendix A. With an aim to evaluate the performances of the three controllers: SMC, ASMC and AF-SMC, three types of tests have been realized: reference tracking, sensitivity to the speed variation and robustness against machine parameter variations.

5.1. Reference tracking

This goal of this test is the study of the behavior of the three controllers in reference tracking, while the machine’s speed is considered constant and equal to its nominal value. Simulation results are presented in Fig. 9. As it’s shown by this Figure, for the three controllers, the stator active and reactive generated powers tracks almost perfectly their reference and ensures a perfect decoupling between the two axes. Therefore it can be considered that the three types or controllers have a very good performance for this test. On the other hand, Fig. 10. shows the harmonic spectrum of one phase stator current of the DFIG obtained using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) technique for the three controllers. It can be clear observed that the total harmonic distortion (THD) is reduced for AF-SMC supplied by seven-level inverter with structure NPC (THD=1.03%) when compared to AF-SMC (THD=1.79%) and ASMC (THD=1.91%) and SMC (THD=2.06%) supplied by two-level inverter. Therefore it can be concluded that proposed controller (AF-SMC) supplied by seven-level inverter is the most effective in eliminating chattering phenomenon and to reduce the current harmonics.
5.2. Sensitivity to the speed variation

The main object of this test is to analyze the influence of a speed variation of the DFIG on reactive and active powers for three controllers. For this objective and at time $t=0.04s$, the speed was varied from $150 \text{ rad/s}$ to $150 \text{ rad/s}$ as its shown in Fig. 11. Simulation results are shown in Fig. 12. This figure express that the speed variation produces a slight effect on the powers curves of the system with ASMC controller, while effect is almost negligible for the system with AFSMC one.

It can be noticed that this last has a nearly perfect speed disturbance rejection; indeed only very small power variation can be observed (fewer than 2%). This result is attractive for wind energy application quality and to ensure stability of the generated power when the speed is varying.

5.3. Robustness tests

The aim of these tests is to analyze the influence of the DFIG’s parameters variation on the controllers' performance. The DFIG is running at its nominal speed. To test the robustness of the used controllers, parameters of the machine have been modified as follows: the values of the rotor and stator resistances are doubled while the values of inductances $L_r$, $L_s$, and $M$ are divided by 2. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 13. These results show that parameters variation of the DFIG presents a clear effect on the powers curves (especially in their errors curves) and that the effect appears more significant for ASMC controller than that with AFSMC one. Thus it can be concluded that this last is the most robust among the proposed controllers studied in this work.
The modeling and the control of a WT conversion system based on a DFIG connected directly to the grid by the stator side and fed by a seven-level inverter with structure NPC on the rotor side has been presented in this paper. This kind of variable speed systems has several advantages over the traditional WT operating methods, such as an increase in the energy captured and the reduction of the mechanical stress. Our objective was the implementation of a robust decoupled control system of active and reactive powers generated by the stator side of the DFIG in order to make the system insensitive with the external disturbances, parametric variations and to ensure a better execution of the DFIG.

In the first step, we started with a study of modeling on the whole system. In second step, we present a vector control strategy in order to control active and reactive power exchanged between the stator the DFIG and the grid. In third step, three different controllers are synthesized and compared. In term of power reference tracking with the DFIG in ideal conditions, the SMC ensures a perfect decoupling between the two axes comparatively to the other controllers where the coupling effect between them is clear. The phenomenon of «chattering» associated with the control by sliding mode has a major drawback because it can excite the dynamics of high frequency control which makes it undesirable. In order to eliminate the chattering, we propose to use the AFSMC. Basing on all these results we conclude that robust control method as AFSMC can be a very attractive solution for devices using DFIG such as wind energy conversion systems and establish its suitability for the system drive.

### Appendix A.

#### Table 3. Machine parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>IS-Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal power</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>KW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine radius</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox gain</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator voltage</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator frequency</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pairs poles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal speed</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>rad/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rotor resistance 0.62 Ω
Stator inductance 0.084 H
Rotor inductance 0.081 H
Mutual inductance 0.078 H
Inertia 0.01 Kg.m²

### Appendix B

#### Table 4. List of symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{ds}, V_{qr}$</td>
<td>Two-phase stator and rotor voltages,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{ds}, \phi_{qr}$</td>
<td>Two-phase stator and rotor fluxes,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ds}, I_{qr}$</td>
<td>Two-phase stator and rotor currents,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s, R_r$</td>
<td>Per phase stator and rotor resistances,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_s, L_r$</td>
<td>Per phase stator and rotor inductances,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Mutual inductance,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Number of pole pairs,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>Laplace operator,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_s, \omega_r$</td>
<td>Stator and rotor currents frequencies (rad/s),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>Mechanical rotor frequency (rad/s),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_r, Q_r$</td>
<td>Active and reactive stator power,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>Inertia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>Coefficient of viscous frictions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_r$</td>
<td>Load torque,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{em}$</td>
<td>Electromagnetic torque.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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