Nonlinear Predictive Control of a Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator Used in Wind Energy System
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Abstract: In this paper, the output voltage of a permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) connected to a PWM rectifier is controlled using a nonlinear predictive control. This device is intended for an application in wind energy conversion in the case of an isolated site. The simulation results of the whole conversion chain are presented to evaluate the performance of the proposed system.
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1. Introduction

Wind power is emerging as one of the fastest growing sustainable energy resources and technology in the world with the advantage of clean, inexhaustible, cost effective and eco friendly [1].

In the last two decades, various wind turbine concepts and high efficiency control schemes have been developed [2]. In the case of stand alone operating, induction generators are widely used due to their advantages of reduced unit cost and size, low maintenance and better transient performance [3]. Even so, permanent magnet synchronous generators (PMSG) are more and more preferred to induction machines because of their improved efficiency, direct drive operation and no need of excitation [4]. Based on a variable speed turbine, PMSG is then connected to a DC bus through a PWM power converter [5]. In such operating, as the rotational speed and the load are not fixed, the stator voltage can vary within wide limits. It is then necessary to use an appropriate control system to maintain the output voltage at a constant amplitude and frequency.

The predictive control aims to obtain certain desired performance in the presence of disturbances and internal variations. In a general way, the techniques of predictive control generated a great number of applications in various practical fields [6]. The extension of this technique to the control of nonlinear systems has recently been the subject of many research and several algorithms have been proposed [7].

The present paper focuses on the application of nonlinear model predictive control of wind energy conversion system with variable speed based on a PMSG. The overall scheme of the studied system is shown in figure 1.

2. Modeling of wind generator

A wind power system, with a variable speed turbine coupled directly to a PMSG connected to a DC bus through a PWM rectifier, is shown in Fig. 1.
2.1 Model turbine

Generally, the power of the air mass that passes through the surface $S_{\text{turbine}}$ of a horizontal axis turbine is given by [8,9]:

$$P_{\text{wind}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho S_{\text{turbine}} V_{\text{wind}}^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

With

- $\rho$: The density of the air (1.25 kg/m$^3$),
- $V_{\text{wind}}$: The wind speed,

In the case of a vertical axis turbine with Savonius wing, $S_{\text{turbine}}$ is replaced by the surface $S$ with the geometric dimensions of the wing shown in Figure 2:

$$S = 2R \times H$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

With

- $H$: height of the turbine,
- $R$: Radius of the turbine.

The power extracted by the wind, $P_{\text{turbine}}$, can be expressed using the power coefficient $C_p$ such as:

$$P_{\text{turbine}} = C_p P_{\text{wind}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$C_p$ is generally expressed with respects to the tip speed ratio $\lambda$:

$$\lambda = \frac{R \omega}{V_{\text{wind}}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$R$: The radius of the wind turbine blades,
- $\omega$: The angular speed of the blades,

In the case of the Savonius system studied, the power coefficient $C_p(\lambda)$, derived from practical measures, is given by the following expression:

$$C_p(\lambda) = -0.2121 \cdot \lambda^3 + 0.0856 \cdot \lambda^2 + 0.2539 \cdot \lambda$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Whose waveform is shown in Figure 3:

![Waveform of $C_p(\lambda)$, of the blade Savonius studied](image)

From this power, the turbine torque can be expressed by:

$$C_{\text{turbine}} = \frac{P_{\text{turbine}}}{\Omega}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

By replacing the value of the power by the product (torque * speed)

$$C_{\text{turbine}} = \frac{C_p(\lambda) \cdot \rho \cdot R^2 \cdot H \cdot V_{\text{wind}}^2}{\lambda}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

2.2 Model of the shaft of the machine

The differential equation that characterizes the mechanical behavior of the turbine and generator is given by [11].

$$(J_t + J_m) \cdot \frac{d\omega}{dt} = C_{\text{turbine}} - C_{\text{em}} - (f_m - f_i) \cdot \omega$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where:

- $J_t$, $J_m$: are the moments of inertia of the turbine and of the machine respectively,
- $f_m$, $f_i$: the friction coefficients of the engine and of the blades respectively,
- $C_{\text{turbine}}$: The static torque provided by the wind.

In our application, we consider that the friction coefficient is associated to the generator only (the one relative to the wing is not taken into account), then:

$$C_{\text{turbine}} = (J_t + J_m) \frac{d\omega}{dt} + C_{\text{em}} + f_m \omega$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

2.3 Model of the synchronous machine

The equations of the PMSG, can be written in a reference linked to the rotor as follows: [12]

$$\begin{cases} V_d = R I_d + L_d \frac{d}{dt} I_d - \omega L_q I_q \\ V_q = R I_q + L_d \frac{d}{dt} I_q + \omega L_d I_d + \omega \phi_f \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

with:
R : Resistance of the stator windings.
I_d, I_q : Stator currents in the Park rotating frame.
V_d, V_q : Stator voltages in the Park rotating frame.
L_d, L_q : Inductances along the direct and quadrature axes which are different in the general case.

\( \omega = p \cdot \Omega \) : The voltage pulsation (rad/s).
p : Number of pole pairs.
\( \Phi_f \) : The flux created by the permanent magnet through the stator windings.

The expression of the electromagnetic torque in the rotating frame is given by:

\[
C_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \rho [L_d - L_q] I_d I_q + \Phi_f I_q \tag{11}
\]

### 2.4 Rectifier modeling

The model of the rectifier (Fig. 4) is made by a set of ideal switches. The latter are complementary; their states are defined by the following function [13, 14]:

\[
S_j = \begin{cases} +1, \bar{s}_j = -1 \\ -1, \bar{s}_j = +1 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } j = a, b, c \tag{12}
\]

The input voltage between phases can be written in terms of \( S_j \) and \( U_{dc} \), knowing that the sum of the input currents \( i_a, i_b, i_c \) is nil, such as:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{sa} &= (S_a - S_b) U_{dc} \\
U_{sb} &= (S_b - S_c) U_{dc} \\
U_{sc} &= (S_c - S_a) U_{dc} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the equations of the balanced phase voltage system, without neutral connection, can be written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
e_a \\
e_b \\
e_c
\end{bmatrix} = R \begin{bmatrix}
i_a \\
i_b \\
i_c
\end{bmatrix} + L \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix}
i_a \\
i_b \\
i_c
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
V_s \\
V_{sb} \\
V_{sc}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{14}
\]

with:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{sa} &= \frac{2S_a - S_b - S_c}{3} U_{dc} \\
U_{sb} &= \frac{2S_b - S_a - S_c}{3} U_{dc} \\
U_{sc} &= \frac{2S_c - S_a - S_b}{3} U_{dc}
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we deduce the coupling equation between AC and DC sides by:

\[
\begin{aligned}
c \frac{du_{dc}}{dt} &= s_a i_a + s_b i_b + s_c i_c - i_l \\
&\quad \text{with :}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
s_d &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2s_a - s_b - s_c). \cos(\omega t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (s_b - s_c). \sin(\omega t) \\
s_q &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (s_b - s_c). \cos(\omega t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (2s_a - s_b - s_c). \sin(\omega t)
\end{align*}
\]

### 3. Application of the nonlinear predictive control to the PMSG model

The goal of the proposed study is to control the stator current \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) and the rectified voltage \( U_{dc} \) of the PMSG. Hence, we chose as state vector \( x = [I_d \quad I_q \quad U_{dc}]^T \), as output \( y = [U_{dc} \quad I_d]^T \) and as control vector \( U = [V_d \quad V_q]^T \).

The model of the PMSG, expressed in the rotor reference frame under a state equation form is given below:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{X} \\
y
\end{bmatrix} = f(x) + G(x) U(t) \tag{20}
\]

Fig. 4. Scheme of the PMSG and PWM rectifier
with:
\[ y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(x) \\ h_2(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{DC} \end{bmatrix}; \]

\[ \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{l_d} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{l_q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_d \\ I_q \end{bmatrix}; U = \begin{bmatrix} V_d \\ V_q \end{bmatrix}; \]

\[ G(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \\ f_3(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 \\ b_1x_2 + b_2x_1 + b_3 \\ c_1 \frac{x_2}{x_3} + c_2 \end{bmatrix}; \]

\[ f(x) = f_1(x) \]

The relative degree of output \( y_i \) is the number of times that is needed to derive the output to bring up the input \( U \).

The future output \( y(t+\tau) \) is calculated by:
\[ y(t+\tau) = T(\tau) Y(t) \tag{24} \]

With:
\[ Y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \\ y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(X) \\ h_2(X) \\ L_i h_1(X) \\ L_i h_2(X) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ G_1(X) U(t) \end{bmatrix} \]

If the reference to future \( y_r(t+\tau) \) is not predefined, a calculation similar to \( y(t+\tau) \) used.

\[ y_r(t+\tau) = T(\tau) Y_r(t) \tag{25} \]

Using (24), (25), the cost function can be expressed as:
\[ S(X, U) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} Y(t) - y_r(t) \end{bmatrix} ^T \Pi \begin{bmatrix} Y(t) - y_r(t) \end{bmatrix} \tag{26} \]

With:
\[ \Pi = \int_0^T T(\tau) ^T T(\tau) d\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau & \frac{\tau^2}{2} & 0 & \frac{\tau^3}{6} \\ 0 & \tau & \frac{\tau^2}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{\tau^2}{2} & 0 & \frac{\tau^3}{3} & \frac{\tau^4}{8} \\ 0 & \frac{\tau^2}{2} & 0 & \frac{\tau^3}{3} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ Y(t) - y_r(t) = M + \begin{bmatrix} h_1(X) \\ h_2(X) \\ L_i h_1(X) \\ L_i h_2(X) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} y_{r1}(t) \\ y_{r2}(t) \\ y_{r3}(t) \\ y_{r4}(t) \end{bmatrix} \]

With:
\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(X) \\ h_2(X) \\ L_i h_1(X) \\ L_i h_2(X) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} y_{r1}(t) \\ y_{r2}(t) \\ y_{r3}(t) \\ y_{r4}(t) \end{bmatrix} \]
To satisfy the necessary condition to have an optimal control is as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial J}{\partial u} = 0 \tag{27}
\]

The non-linear control problem after the minimization of the cost function is given by:

\[
U(t) = -G_1(X)^{-1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{4} \prod_{j=1}^{2} M \right] \tag{28}
\]

Where

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{4} \prod_{j=1}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{3}{2}t & 0 \\ \frac{10}{3}t^2 & 0 & \frac{5}{2}t \\ \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_1(X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_3}{C_1 R_2} \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

4. Simulation results

The operating of the studied system using the proposed control was simulated in the Matlab Simulink. In this control strategy, the reference voltage at the output of the rectifier is taken equal to \( V_{dc-ref} = 40 \) V and the waveform of the wind speed variation is shown in Fig. 5. In the following, we present simulation results.

The waveform of the wind speed shown in Figure 10 is modeled as a sum of deterministic several harmonics [16]:

\[
V_{vent}(t) = 10 + 0.2 \sin(0.1047 t) + 2(\sin 0.2665 t) + \sin(1.2930 t) + 0.2\sin (3.6645 t)
\]

Fig. 5. Wind speed

Fig. 6. Rectified voltage

Fig. 7. zoom of Rectified voltage

Fig. 9. Wind speed

Fig. 10. Rectified voltage

Fig. 11. zoom of Rectified voltage

Fig. 12. Direct current

The response of the voltage at the output of the rectifier is given in Figures 8, 11. We can see that the voltage is well regulated. This is also the case of the current \( I_d \) and the rejection of disturbances made in this case by changes in wind speed is ensured.

5. Conclusion

The study of voltage control system constituted of a permanent magnet synchronous generator feeding a PWM rectifier is presented. The proposed control strategy is
based on non-linear predictive control to ensure good performance.

Law control system has been detailed. Simulations results were given and discussed. They show the interest and the validity of the proposed control strategy for this stand alone wind energy conversion system.

### Annexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nominal voltage</td>
<td>$V_n = 50 \text{ V}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nominal current</td>
<td>$I_n = 4.8 \text{ A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nominal power</td>
<td>$P_n = 300 \text{ W}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pairs</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding resistance</td>
<td>$R_s = 1.137 \Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synchronous inductance</td>
<td>$L_s = 2.7 \text{ mH}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>efficient flow</td>
<td>$\Phi_{\text{eff}} = 0.15 \text{ Wb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction</td>
<td>$f = 0.06 \text{ N.m.s/rad}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia of the PMSG</td>
<td>$J = 0.1 \text{ kg.m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of a wing</td>
<td>$R = 0.5 \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of a wing</td>
<td>$H = 2 \text{ m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>active surface</td>
<td>$S = 2 \text{ m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia of the wing</td>
<td>$J = 16 \text{ kg.m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of air</td>
<td>$\rho = 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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