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Abstract: This paper presents an advanced control method for islanded microgrids (MG). The proposed control method is based on H-infinity (H∞) controller. The method is applied to regulate the system frequency and voltage to allowable range against the disturbances caused by load variations and meanwhile improve the system power quality. To prove how efficient is the proposed controller, it is compared with two other controllers including a base V-f controller and a conventional droop controller. The V-f control method consists of two control loops to control the voltage source inverter (VSI). The conventional control method applies a droop control with the V-f control method to improve its performance. The proposed control method is based on H∞ to modify the operation of the conventional control method. The three controllers are applied to a test system simulated in Matlab/Simulink environment for two case studies. The first one is a MG supplying linear loads (i.e. no harmonics effect), whereas the other one is a MG supplying nonlinear loads. The results prove that the proposed control method is more efficient than the other two methods in adjusting the system voltage and frequency of the islanded MG against the disturbances caused by load variations. It can also improve the system power quality by reducing the THD of current and voltage waveforms.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays microgrids have emerged as one of the most effective options for satisfying the ever-increasing energy demand [1]. A microgrid (MG) is a small-scale power grid operates independently or in conjunction with the area's main electrical grid. It is a discrete energy system consisting of distributed generations (DGs), battery storage and loads. MGs have many advantages as they can reduce pollution, increase system flexibility, reduce transmission and distribution power losses and generally they can provide effective support to utility grids [2]. One of the major challenges facing MGs operators is the question of how to control them under different operating modes [3]. MGs can operate in either grid connected or islanded modes. In grid connected mode, the MG voltage and frequency should be synchronized with the utility grid. In this case, the control system is used for synchronizing the utility grid with DG sources in order to regulate active reactive power control through voltage and frequency control [4]. In islanded mode, the MG operates autonomously when it is disconnected from the main grid. In this mode, the control method is applied to adjust the MG voltage and frequency to their acceptable limits to prevent MG instability [5].

Many control methods have been employed to regulate voltage and frequency of MGs in islanded mode. These methods include V/f control, P/Q control, droop control, peer to peer control, hierarchical control, P/PID control, sliding mode control, master-slave control and distributed control method [4,6-8]. V/f control is a flexible and modular method that provides expandability and redundancy. Several papers in the literature have applied V/f control method for controlling voltage and frequency of islanded MG [9-13]. Authors in [9-10] applied V/f control with the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) to regulate system voltage and frequency. Whereas, authors in [11-13], applied V/f control to achieve soft transition between grid-connected and islanded mode. However, the V/f control method is suffering from slow response and it can't restore the system voltage and frequency to their nominal values.

Droop control is the basic control method for load current sharing in MG applications. The method is traditionally adopted to distribute active and reactive power among the DGs operating in parallel. The droop control is simple as it is a decentralized control method where each input is paired with one output and it depends only on local information of the DGs. It has also a low cost and it doesn't need any communication between DG units [14]. Droop controller is applied for many applications in power systems. It can be used to: improve the system voltage unbalance [15], regulate the system voltage and frequency [16], achieve frequency stability [14], and achieve energy management [17]. However, the method requires a supplementary control to restore the system voltage and frequency to their nominal values [18].
H-Infinity (H∞) is a repetitive control method used to synthesize the controller to provide fast stabilization with better performance. It has the power to solve multi-objectives and multivariate systems. To apply this technique, the control problem must be transferred firstly to a mathematical optimization problem [19 - 23].

Hornik et al. [19] introduced a control system based on H∞ to ensure a pure sinusoidal current injected to a MG supplying nonlinear and unbalanced loads. The proposed control system has improved the tracking performance and reduced the total harmonic distortion (THD). Sheela et al. [20] applied H∞ algorithm to minimize voltage and frequency deviations after load changing. Baghaee et al. [21] presented a generalized descriptor system H∞ approach to enhance the performance of the H∞ controller for voltage source converters (VSC) based MG. Lam et al. [22] presented a robust H∞ control method for primary frequency control in standalone MGs. A multi-variable H∞ controller using a linear matrix inequalities (LMI) technique was designed and discussed in the paper and a μ-synthesis analysis was applied to ensure the system robustness. Jian et al. [23] applied H∞ controller to reduce the frequency fluctuations due to integrating DGs with a MG. They have used the particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique to improve the system performance by optimizing the weighting function of the controller.

The H∞ control method is one of the most advanced techniques available today for designing robust controllers. One great advantage with this method is that it allows the designer to tackle the most general form of control architecture wherein explicit accounting of uncertainties, disturbances, and performance measures can be accomplished [24]. The method can be applied for many applications in power management and control of MGs in both grid-connected and isolated modes [25-31].

Nagahara et al. [25] applied H∞ controller to ensure a power balance and reduce the frequency fluctuations. Dou et al. [26] and Jankovic et al. [27] applied H∞ controller to adjust the current and voltage in the DC–AC interface of micro-sources in MGs. The H∞ controller was used to improve the power quality and to reduce THD in MG in [28]. Sedghi et al. [29] proposed an H∞ based control method to adjust the MG under the uncertainties of the load changes. Lam et al. [30] applied H∞ control method to regulate the frequency fluctuations in MG whereas, Hornik et al. [31] proposed a control method based on H∞ repetitive controller to adjust the grid tied inverters.

The power quality of the distribution networks is greatly affected, by the increasing use of renewable energy resources which employ power electronic devices to regulate their output. The power quality is mainly measured based on the THD. Both power electronic devices and non-linear loads consume non-sinusoidal currents and hence increase the total harmonic distortion (THD) in MGs [32]. The increased THD results in MG overheating, system instability, transmission and distribution losses increasing and protection system failure [33]. To reduce the THD to its acceptable limits, filters are applied for harmonic mitigation. Voltage source inverter (VSI) is usually interfaced with LCL filter to mitigate the THD in output currents [34]. The maximum allowable THD for both wind and PV connected to the utility grid is 5% according to IEEE std. 519-1992 [35].

This paper presents an advanced control technique based on H∞ controller for islanded MG. The main objective of the proposed method is: (i) adjust the system voltage and frequency and (ii) improve the system power quality. The proposed method is a repetitive control one as it uses the internal model in H∞ control. The method is also an adaptive and robust one as it applies different weighting parameters with the H∞ control method. The method is represented in Matlab/Simulink environment and verified using a test system under two different loading conditions using linear and non-linear load. A comparison between both V/f control, conventional droop control and the proposed control method is presented to explain the effectiveness and efficiency of the method.

The rest of the paper is organized as follow; section 2 introduces the V/f control method, section 3 presents the conventional control method, section 4 introduces the state space model representation, section 5 presents the proposed control method, and section 6 introduces the case studies. Finally, section 7 concludes the paper.

2. V/f Control Method

This control method is applied to control the MG parameters under the islanded mode of operation only. In this mode, it is required to control MG voltage and frequency within their acceptable limits to meet all load requirements. This control method is very important during transition between off-grid and on-grid mode. [2, 4, 6]. The method is consisting of two control loops. The first is the voltage control loop that is applied to provide the reference current for the VSI. The second is the current control loop which provides
the reference voltage to the VSI. The equations represent the two control loops are explained in the following subsections.

2.1. Voltage Controller

The voltage controller’s Differential Algebraic Equations (DAEs) are expressed as [36-38]:

\[
\dot{\phi}_{qi} = V_{q_i}^* - V_{qi} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\dot{\phi}_{di} = V_{d_i}^* - V_{di} \tag{2}
\]

\[
i_{d_i}^* = F_i i_{d_i} - \omega C_i V_{qi} + K_{PV} (V_{d_i} - V_{d_i}) + K_{PV} \phi_{di} \tag{3}
\]

\[
i_{q_i}^* = F_i i_{q_i} - \omega C_i V_{di} + K_{PV} (V_{q_i} - V_{q_i}) + K_{PV} \phi_{qi} \tag{4}
\]

Where \(\phi_{di}\) and \(\phi_{qi}\) are the state variables defined for PI controllers, \(K's\) are the integrator gain factors, and \(\omega\) is the nominal angular frequency. Figure 1 shows a block diagram of this control loop.

2.2. Current Controller

The current controller DAEs are expressed as [36-38]:

\[
\dot{y}_{qi} = i_{q_i}^* - i_{q_i} \tag{5}
\]

\[
\dot{y}_{di} = i_{d_i}^* - i_{d_i} \tag{6}
\]

\[
V_{d_i}^* = -\omega L_f i_{d_i} + K_{PC} (i_{d_i} - i_{d_i}) + K_{PC} y_{di} \tag{7}
\]

\[
V_{q_i}^* = -\omega L_f i_{q_i} + K_{PC} (i_{q_i} - i_{q_i}) + K_{PC} y_{qi} \tag{8}
\]

Where \(y_{di}\) and \(y_{qi}\) are the state variables defined for PI controllers, \(K's\) are the integrator gain factors, and \(i_{d_i}\) and \(i_{q_i}\) are the direct and quadrature components of \(i_i\). Figure 2 illustrates a block diagram of the current control loop.

3. Conventional Control Method

The conventional control method is proposed and simulated to control MGs in islanded mode. The controller is composed of three loops. The first one is the droop control used to provide the active and reactive power references during MG islanded mode. The second and third loops are the voltage and current control loops [39-40]. To improve the system power quality, the VSI voltage output is filtered through LCL filter as it contains a large number of harmonic orders [41].

This control method is based on the relationship between active power with frequency and reactive power with voltage. According to droop characteristics, an increase in active power output leads to a decrease in load angle and hence a decrease in the frequency. Similarly, any increase in reactive power output leads to a reduction in terminal voltage. This control is a decentralized one as it uses only the local information of the DGs. In addition to its low cost, the main advantage of this method is that it doesn’t need any communication links between DG units. The droop control method can be expressed mathematically as follow:

Firstly, the instantaneous active and reactive power \(p\) and \(q\) generated by the \(i^{th}\) VSI are calculated according to the output voltage and current; then a low pass filter is used to eliminate the high frequency components [36-38].

\[
p = (v_{od} i_{od} + v_{oq} i_{oq}) \tag{9}
\]

\[
q = (v_{od} i_{od} - v_{oq} i_{od}) \tag{10}
\]

Where \(V_{od}, V_{oq}, i_{od},\) and \(i_{oq}\) are the direct and quadratic components of the voltage and current, respectively. To obtain the fundamental active and reactive power \(P\) and \(Q\), the filter is applied to the instantaneous power in (9) and (10).

\[
P = \frac{\omega_c}{s+\omega_c} p \tag{11}
\]

\[
Q = \frac{\omega_c}{s+\omega_c} q \tag{12}
\]

Where \(\omega_c\) rad/s is the cut-off frequency of the low pass filter, and ‘\(S\)’ denotes Laplace transformation. The droop control method can be expressed mathematically as:

\[
\omega^* = \omega_{ref} - m_p (P) \tag{13}
\]

\[
v_{od}^* = v_{ref} - n_q (Q) \tag{14}
\]

\[
v_{oq}^* = 0 \tag{15}
\]

Where \(\omega^*\) is the grid angular frequency, \(\omega_{ref}\) and \(v_{ref}\) are the frequency and voltage references, \(m_p\) and \(n_q\) are the slopes of the droop characteristics.

Figure 3 shows a block diagram of the droop controller whereas, Fig. 4 shows a Simulink representation of the proposed conventional controller.

4. State space model representation

In this paper, different control loops are proposed to control both voltage and frequency and meanwhile improve power quality in an islanded MG. Firstly, a droop controller is applied to provide the reference voltage and frequency after calculating the required MG power. In this controller, the instantaneous active and reactive power \(p_{gi}\) and \(q_{gi}\) generated by the \(i^{th}\) VSI are calculated according to the output voltage and current. A low pass filter is added to obtain the fundamental active and reactive power. Then the droop controller is applied to obtain the reference voltage and frequency. Secondly, the voltage and current are adjusted using both voltage and current control loops. Thirdly, the inverter output voltage waveform is regulated using a coupling circuit to eliminate the higher frequency harmonic orders. The mathematical equations of these control loops are handled in d-q reference frame.

The state space models of the above control loops are used to obtain a complete model of the inverter. The following equations represent the inverter.
The corresponding plant $P$ can be employed as:

$$P = [D_{inv1} D_{inv2}] + C_{inv1}(sI - A_{inv1})^{-1}[B_{inv1} B_{inv2}]$$

Hence, the plant $P$ is represented by the following state equation:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} A_{inv1} & B_{inv1} \\ C_{inv1} & D_{inv1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_{inv2} \\ D_{inv2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(19)

The last two control equations can be applied to control voltage and frequency for any MG containing DG units in islanded mode.

5. Proposed Control Method

Although the droop controller does not need any communication link between the DGs, it has its own disadvantages which limits the proper operation of MGs. The main disadvantage of this controller is the deviation of frequency and voltage from the nominal values when adjusting power sharing between DGs. To improve the conventional control response, this paper proposes a new control method depending on integrating $H_\infty$ as a repetitive control with the conventional droop controller. The proposed $H_\infty$ method consists of an inner voltage control loop and an outer current control loop to improve the system performance. The method is effective in enhancing the transient stability under the presence of uncertainties. It can significantly decrease the effect of perturbations on the control system. Figure 5(a) shows a schematic diagram of the control system using $H_\infty$ method, where $P$ represents the plant nominal transfer function and $C$ represents feedback control. The internal model $M$ shown in Fig. 5(a) has infinite dimension and consists of a low-pass filter $W(s)$ defined by (20) and cascaded with a delay line $e^{-T_d s}$ [19]. It is capable of generating periodic signals of a given fundamental period $T_d$; therefore, it has the ability to track periodic references and reject periodic disturbances having the same period. This provides a repetitive control scheme which covers a wide range of frequencies. The delay time $T_d$ should be slightly lesser than the fundamental period $T$ and can be computed using (21) [19].

$$W(s) = \frac{\omega_c}{s + \omega_c}$$

(20)

$$T_d = T - \frac{1}{\omega_c}$$

(21)

Where $\omega_c$ is the cut-off frequency of the low pass filter $W$.

The $H_\infty$ controller, shown in Fig. 5(b), is designed for voltage and current control loops. To ensure the system stability, two weighting parameters $\xi$ and $\mu$ are presented after estimated next to the open of the internal model feedback loop. The weighting parameters are applied to provide more freedom in the design. The closed loop system can be represented as follow:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{z} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{P} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w} \\ u \end{bmatrix}, \quad u = C\tilde{y}$$

where, the $\tilde{P}$ is the extended plant and $C$ is the controller to be designed. The extended plant $\tilde{P}$ includes the original plant $P$, the low pass filter $W$ and the weighted parameters $\xi$ and $\mu$. The low pass filter is represented as follow:

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} A_w & B_w \\ C_w & D_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_c \xi & \omega_c \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The generalized plant realizing is then obtained in (22) as it is shown in Fig. 5(b).

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} A_{inv1} & 0 & 0 & B_{inv1} & B_{inv2} \\ A_w & B_w & \omega_c & B_wD_{inv1} & B_wD_{inv2} \\ C_w & D_w & \omega_c & D_{inv1} & D_{inv2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi & 0 \\ D_{inv1} & 0 & 0 & D_{inv2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(22)

6. Case Study

In this case study, the three control systems are applied to a hypothetical MG consists of three DGs and three loads. The MG is connected to the main grid through a grid breaker for transition between grid-connected and islanded mode. Figure 6 shows a Simulink representation of this test system. The system parameters used in simulation are given in Table 1.
TABLE 1. SYSTEM PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG system</td>
<td>Nominal frequency</td>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nominal RMS phase voltage</td>
<td>( V )</td>
<td>600 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter LC filter</td>
<td>Inductance</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>5.42 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>Frequency droop characteristics</td>
<td>( K_p )</td>
<td>0.0000042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voltage droop characteristics</td>
<td>( K_q )</td>
<td>0.000177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1. Case 1: A MG supplying linear loads (No harmonics effect)

In this case, the test system is integrated to linear loads of RLC load connected at buses 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 6. The three controllers are applied consecutively to the test system and the results are analyzed.

6.1.1. Applying V/f control method

The V/f controller is applied to the test system connected to a linear load shown in Fig. 7-a. The simulation results of this case study are explained in Figs. 8 and 9. The system voltage, current and frequency are shown in the left side of Fig. 8. A fast Fourier transform is done to the current and voltage waveforms to detect the value of the THD. The THD for both current and voltage waveforms are 2.04 % and 2.06 % respectively, as shown in the left side of Fig. 9.

6.1.2. Applying conventional control method

The conventional controller, explained in section 3, is applied to the test system with linear loads and the results are explained in Figs. 10 and 11. The system output voltage, current and frequency are shown in the left side of Fig. 10. The FFT analysis of the current and voltage waveforms are shown in the left side of Fig. 11. The THD of the load current is 0.93% and the THD of the load voltage is 1.93%.

6.1.3. Applying the proposed H\(\infty\) control method

The proposed H\(\infty\) controller, explained in section 5, is applied to the test system using hinfsyn algorithm and the results are explained in Figs. 12 and 13. The system output voltage, current and frequency are shown if the left side of Fig. 12. Whereas, the FFT analysis of the current and voltage waveforms are shown in the right side of Fig. 12. The THD of the load current and voltage are 0.65% and 1.27% respectively.
6.1.4. Comparison between the three control methods for case 1

As explained in the above sections, the left-hand side of figures 8, 10, 12 expresses the output waveforms obtained by applying the three controllers. On the other hand, the left-hand side of figures 9, 11, 13 depicts the FFT analysis of current and voltage waveforms after applying the three controllers. Out of the above results one can observe that:
- The conventional controller is better than V/f controller in adjusting system voltage and frequency to acceptable limits.
- Although the conventional controller reduces the ripples in the voltage, current and frequency waveforms, it can't really adjust the system voltage and frequency to their nominal values after load variations.
- The proposed H∞ repetitive controller has a faster response and it can adjust the system voltage and frequency to their nominal values after any load variations.
- The proposed control method is better than the two other methods in improving the system power quality by reducing THD for both current and voltage waveforms. A comparison between the current and voltage THD of the three controllers is shown in Table 2.

In general, the results prove that the proposed control method is more efficient than the other two methods in adjusting the system voltage and frequency of the islanded MG against the disturbances caused by load variations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>% age value</th>
<th>% decrease</th>
<th>% age value</th>
<th>% decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THDi</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>54.41%</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THDv</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>6.31%</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2. Case 2: A MG supplying nonlinear loads

In this case, the test system is integrated to three similar nonlinear loads connected at buses 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 6. Each load is composed of a three-phase uncontrolled rectifier loaded with an RLC. The active and reactive load curves are shown in Fig. 7-b. Again, the three controllers are applied consecutively to the test system for this case study and the results are analyzed.

6.2.1. Applying V/f controller

The simulation output voltage, current and frequency are shown in the right side of Fig. 8. The FFT analysis of the current and voltage waveforms are shown in the left side of Fig. 9. The THD of load current is 3.85% and that of the load voltage is 3.16%.

6.2.2. Applying conventional controller

The system output voltage, current and frequency are shown in the right side of Fig. 9. The FFT analysis of the current and voltage waveforms are shown in the left side of Fig. 11. The THD of load current is 2.03% and the THD of load voltage is 2.30%.

6.2.3. Applying proposed H∞-based controller

The proposed multi-stage H∞ controller is applied to the test system using hinfsyn algorithm. The system output voltage, current and frequency are shown if the right side of Fig. 12. The FFT analysis of the current and voltage waveforms are shown in the right side of Fig. 13. The THD of the load current is 0.89% and the THD of the load voltage is 1.50%.

6.2.4. Comparison between the three controllers for case 2

For this case study, the results represent the output waveforms obtained by applying the three control methods are presented in the right-hand side of Figs. 8, 10, and 12. The FFT analysis of current and voltage waveforms after applying the three controllers are expressed in Figs. 9, 11, and 13. Out of the above results it can be concluded that:
- Unlike the first case study, integrating non-linear loads to the islanded MG test system causes interruptions in system voltage, current and frequency.
- Both V/f and conventional controllers can't adjust system voltage, current and frequency for this case (due to the presence of non-linear loads).
- Once more, the conventional controller is better than V/f controller in adjusting system voltage and frequency to acceptable limits.
- However, using the proposed H∞ controller improves the system voltage, current and frequency. The method is capable of improving the system power quality better than the other two controllers.
- A comparison between the current and voltage THD of the three controllers are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>% age value</th>
<th>% decrease</th>
<th>% age value</th>
<th>% decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THDi</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>47.27%</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THDv</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>27.21%</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V/f Control Method

Case 1 (Without non-linear load)

Case 2 (With non-linear load)

Fig. 8(a) Load voltage

Fig. 8(b) Load current

Fig. 8(c) System frequency

Fig. 8. Results for the V/f control method

Fig. 9(a) FFT analysis for the load current waveform

Fig. 9(b) FFT analysis for the load voltage waveform

Fig. 9. FFT analysis of current and voltage waveforms using V/f control method
Conventional Control Method

Case 1 (Without non-linear load)

Fig. 10(a) Load voltage

Fig. 10(b) Load current

Fig. 10(c) System frequency

Fig. 10. Results for conventional control method

Conventional Control Method

Case 2 (With non-linear load)

Fig. 11(a) FFT analysis for the load current waveform

Fig. 11(b) FFT analysis for the load voltage waveform

Fig. 11. FFT analysis of current and voltage waveforms using conventional control method
Proposed Control Method

Case 1 (Without non-linear load)

Case 2 (With non-linear load)

Fig. 12(a) Load voltage

Fig. 12(b) Load current

Fig. 12(c) System frequency

Fig. 12. Results for the proposed control method

Proposed Control Method

Case 1 (Without non-linear load)

Case 2 (With non-linear load)

Fig. 13(a) FFT analysis for the load current waveform

Fig. 13(b) FFT analysis for the load voltage waveform

Fig. 13. FFT analysis of current and voltage waveforms using proposed control
A comparison between the three controllers is given in Table 4. The comparison includes the THD value, the response time to load changes, and the maximum frequency jump at the system load variation instant.

Table 4. Comparison between the three controllers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Type</th>
<th>Control Method</th>
<th>THD (%)</th>
<th>Response Time (s)</th>
<th>Max Frequency Jump (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear Load</td>
<td>V/F</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Droop</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H∞</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Linear Load</td>
<td>V/F</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>16.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Droop</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H∞</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparison shows that the proposed control method is more efficient than the two other controllers in improving the system power quality. The proposed method has the ability to reduce the THD to its minimum value under different loading conditions. The proposed controller has the shortest response time, so it can restore the system frequency to its nominal value after any variation in system load faster than the other two controllers. The proposed controller has also the lowest frequency jump compared to the other controllers in both loading conditions. In facts, the high frequency jump under non-linear loading conditions is one of the major disadvantages of the droop controllers.

Generally, the prementioned results prove that the proposed H∞ controller is more efficient and effective than both V/f and conventional controllers in adjusting the system voltage, current frequency for islanded MG during the system load variations in presence of non-linear loads. It can also improve the system power quality by reducing the THD of current and voltage waveforms.

7. Conclusion

This paper introduced an advanced control method based on $H\infty$ controller for islanded MG. The proposed control method adjusts the system voltage and frequency and improves the system power quality. The method is a repetitive adaptive and robust control one as it uses the internal model in $H\infty$ control and applies different weighting parameters with the $H\infty$ control method. The method was compared with two other controllers including a base V-f controller and a conventional droop controller to explain the effectiveness and efficiency of the method. The three controllers were simulated in Matlab/Simulink environment and then applied to a test system for two case studies including two different loading conditions (linear and non-linear loads). The results proved that the proposed method restored the system voltage and frequency to their nominal values after the load violations and also improved the system power quality. The proposed controller has the lowest frequency jump compared to the other controllers in both loading conditions.
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